


HD 281

- Current population objectives are expressed as a desired number of elk counted, and typically include a range around that value (+/-20%). The range accounts for anticipated variability in elk counts among years. In this HD, should FWP maintain the current form of objective (fixed range) or should FWP consider alternative population objective forms, like using the long-term average (5 years, 10 years) +/- 20%, or some other approach to establish a population objective?
- Elk populations are classified into objective status using annual or biennial survey data. Because there is often variation among surveys, would it be more appropriate to use individual surveys or a 3-year average of surveys to determine objective status for this HD or would you recommend some other approach?



HD 281

HD 281



HD 281

- The current objective for HD 281 is 500-700. Do you think the population objective level should be maintained, increased, or decreased? If increased or decreased, what should the new range be?
- Currently there are sub-objectives for Ovando Mountain (200-300), Marcum-Kershaw (150-200), Beaver-Keep Cool (150-200). Should sub-objectives be retained? If so, should they be maintained at current level, increased, or decreased? If increased or decreased, what should the new ranges be?



HD 281

- In HD 281, there is a bull objective to maintain at least 15 bulls:100 cows observed during post-season aerial surveys. Should there be a bull objective in this HD? If so, is the current bull objective appropriate? If not, what should it be?
- Are you satisfied with the current elk distribution in this HD? If not, would you suggest any specific objectives to address the distribution?
- What else does the Elk Management Plan need to include for this HD?

