


HD 270

- Current population objectives are expressed as a desired number of elk counted, and typically include a range around that value (+/-20%). The range accounts for anticipated variability in elk counts among years. In this HD, should FWP maintain the current form of objective (point value with fixed range) or should FWP consider alternative population objective forms, like using the long-term average (5 years, 10 years) +/- 20%, or some other approach to establish a population objective?
- Elk populations are classified into objective status using annual or biennial survey data. Because there is often variation among surveys, would it be more appropriate to use individual surveys or a 3-year average of surveys to determine objective status for this HD or would you recommend some other approach ?



HD 270

HD 270



HD 270

- The current objective for HD 270 is 3,800 (range = 3,040-4,560). Do you think the population objective level should be maintained, increased, or decreased? If increased or decreased, what should the new range be?
- In HD 270, there are bull objectives to maintain at least 15 bulls:100 cows observed during post-season aerial surveys or at least 10% bulls in the total elk observed, and maintain at least 15% of harvested bulls \geq 4.5 years old and annual bull harvest where at least 15% harvested bulls are \geq 6 pt. Should there be bull objectives in this HD? If so, are the current bull objectives appropriate? If not, what should they be?
- Are you satisfied with the current elk distribution in this HD? If not, would you suggest any specific objectives to address the distribution?
- What else does the Elk Management Plan need to include for this HD?

