



Q1 On a scale from 1 (very dissatisfied) to 5 (very satisfied), how satisfied were you with what was done for the following agenda topics which were covered on Wednesday, December 4?

Answered: 51 Skipped: 0



# Montana AIS Post-Summit Evaluation Survey




## Montana AIS Post-Summit Evaluation Survey


	1 (VERY DISSATISFIED)	2	3	4	5 (VERY SATISFIED)	DID NOT ATTEND	TOTAL
AIS IN THE WEST...A regional perspective	0.00% 0	6.00% 3	6.00% 3	36.00% 18	50.00% 25	2.00% 1	50
WATERCRAFT INSPECTION STATIONS...Program history, progress, and the future	0.00% 0	2.00% 1	0.00% 0	38.00% 19	58.00% 29	2.00% 1	50
AIS EARLY DETECTION MONITORING...What we look for, what we find, and where we go from here	0.00% 0	2.00% 1	8.00% 4	40.00% 20	50.00% 25	0.00% 0	50
AIS OUTREACH & EDUCATION...Sharing the Clean-Drain-Dry message	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	4.00% 2	38.00% 19	54.00% 27	4.00% 2	50
AIS BUDGET PANEL...Where does funding come from and how it is used	0.00% 0	2.00% 1	10.00% 5	32.00% 16	42.00% 21	14.00% 7	50
LEGISLATIVE PANEL...Review past legislation and prepare for 2021	0.00% 0	4.00% 2	2.00% 1	24.00% 12	62.00% 31	8.00% 4	50

Q2 On a scale from 1 (very dissatisfied) to 5 (very satisfied), how satisfied were you with what was done the following agenda topics which were covered on Thursday, December 5?


Answered: 51 Skipped: 0



# Montana AIS Post-Summit Evaluation Survey



## Montana AIS Post-Summit Evaluation Survey




	1 (VERY DISSATISFIED)	2	3	4	5 (VERY SATISFIED)	DID NOT ATTEND	TOTAL
AIS LAW REVIEW PANEL...Review of AIS statute and rules	0.00% 0	1.96% 1	21.57% 11	29.41% 15	29.41% 15	17.65% 9	51
AIS LAWS BREAKOUT...Identifying needs and priorities	1.96% 1	3.92% 2	15.69% 8	23.53% 12	37.25% 19	17.65% 9	51
LAW ENFORCEMENT...Understanding the roles and challenges	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	7.84% 4	37.25% 19	37.25% 19	17.65% 9	51
WATERCRAFT INSPECTION STATION PANEL...Our challenges and how we can improve	1.96% 1	3.92% 2	15.69% 8	23.53% 12	39.22% 20	15.69% 8	51
WATERCRAT INSPECTION STATION BREAKOUT...Recognize challenges and solutions	1.96% 1	3.92% 2	7.84% 4	31.37% 16	35.29% 18	19.61% 10	51
MONITORING PANEL...Looking for AIS in Montana	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	19.61% 10	29.41% 15	35.29% 18	15.69% 8	51
MONITORING BREAKOUT...What to look for, how to get involved, and what needs to be done	0.00% 0	4.00% 2	22.00% 11	22.00% 11	30.00% 15	22.00% 11	50

Q3 On a scale from 1 (very dissatisfied) to 5 (very satisfied), how satisfied were you with the following other aspects of the Summit?

Answered: 51 Skipped: 0

# Montana AIS Post-Summit Evaluation Survey



## Montana AIS Post-Summit Evaluation Survey

	1 (VERY DISSATISFIED)	2	3	4	5 (VERY SATISFIED)	DID NOT ATTEND	TOTAL
Food, snacks, and meals provided at the Summit	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	5.88% 3	23.53% 12	70.59% 36	0.00% 0	51
The meeting location for the Summit	0.00% 0	1.96% 1	5.88% 3	19.61% 10	72.55% 37	0.00% 0	51
The registration process for the Summit	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1.96% 1	13.73% 7	84.31% 43	0.00% 0	51

## Montana AIS Post-Summit Evaluation Survey

### Q4 What are some of the things you liked most about this Summit?

Answered: 43   Skipped: 8

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	The participation of a large number of stakeholders.	12/17/2019 5:58 AM
2	Great opportunity to compare notes with others, network, keep up-to-date on issues, etc.	12/17/2019 1:21 AM
3	I liked the breakout sessions, it was interesting to hear other people talk in the smaller group setting. I also liked the reporting aspects of Montana's program.	12/17/2019 12:26 AM
4	The FWP AIS Program is very much improved, and that was clearly evident; Transparency re funding availability and needs; and Chances to share perspectives.	12/16/2019 9:25 PM
5	colaboration	12/16/2019 8:13 PM
6	The panel discussions were great and having time for breakout sessions was valuable	12/16/2019 7:15 PM
7	Being assigned to specific tables. Great way to "force" interaction with others I may not have otherwise had a chance to visit with.	12/16/2019 6:51 PM
8	Presentations on Wednesday were excellent. I enjoyed assigned table seating to encourage networking with new folks.	12/16/2019 6:37 PM
9	Assigned tables, break out discussions	12/16/2019 6:34 PM
10	Inspection station panel	12/16/2019 5:35 PM
11	Diversity of attendees and partners	12/16/2019 5:23 PM
12	I think the FWP team did a great job of including other partners in the discussion for each session!	12/16/2019 5:19 PM
13	Great opportunity for natural resource partners to gather and learn, share, advance in a collective setting.	12/16/2019 4:51 PM
14	Legislative Panel	12/16/2019 4:49 PM
15	I liked the feel. There has been a lot of progress made towards inclusion and transparency, and it shows.	12/16/2019 4:42 PM
16	The diversity of the stakeholders present	12/16/2019 4:18 PM
17	I liked that we were given time to talk with a variety of people and that we rotated tables.	12/16/2019 4:18 PM
18	Understanding how we are successful in drafting & passing legislation, funding it as a priority, and implementing it on the ground. Then hearing honest conversation about what needs improvement. Interaction with other perspectives from NGO, tribes, and all stakeholders.	12/14/2019 5:49 PM
19	The fact that there was a wonderful facilitator. The table switching allowed for optimal networking. Every panel was relevant and interesting! Food was great.	12/13/2019 10:53 PM
20	I liked how informative it was and the flow of information.	12/12/2019 8:28 PM
21	Meeting other partners and getting to know more AIS folks	12/10/2019 5:11 PM
22	The facilitation was fantastic. I also really liked that you had assigned seating to bring people together and encourage great breakout sessions	12/10/2019 2:12 PM
23	The table switching! And the table discussions (breakouts).	12/9/2019 10:57 PM
24	I was inspired to keep working hard and make improvements in my program.	12/9/2019 10:34 PM
25	The varied participants and the breakout sessions.	12/9/2019 9:08 PM
26	I really enjoyed the networking that was initiated as a result of the table assignments!	12/9/2019 6:08 PM
27	I liked the venue other than parking was too far away for some people. The summit was done in a much more professional manner this year. Good job.	12/9/2019 5:45 PM
28	The networking and changing of tables!	12/9/2019 5:22 PM

## Montana AIS Post-Summit Evaluation Survey

29	Liked seeing all of the different groups across the state who are working towards this goal.	12/9/2019 4:19 PM
30	Partners seemed pretty open to discussing a myriad of AIS issues; the frankness of the discussions on how to improve (enforcement, penalties, laws, communication); the number of partners represented and present in the room	12/9/2019 4:13 PM
31	I enjoyed the diverse group of people that attended. I really enjoyed the different point of views presented by the state, federal partners, tribal partners, and non-profits. I think each group has a unique perspective and we need to be able to unify as a whole to tackle the growing AIS problem in the west.	12/9/2019 4:08 PM
32	To see how much progress has been made in the effort to educate, monitor and prevent AIS.	12/9/2019 4:08 PM
33	Very good crowd. Lots of working together. Less finger pointing than previous summits.	12/9/2019 3:56 PM
34	Set up of the tables with assigned seating that encouraged getting to know folks and hearing new ideas.	12/9/2019 3:45 PM
35	The variety of stake holders incorporated into the summit was great.	12/9/2019 3:44 PM
36	Camaraderie amongst diverse entities working on the same issue. FWP staff did an amazing job of pulling together a valuable meeting.	12/9/2019 3:17 PM
37	At first I wasn't sure about the assigned seating, but it ended up being my favorite part! I sat with people that I would have never normally meet and was able to learn from them.	12/9/2019 3:12 PM
38	There was very good discussion, Gerry kept things moving and on topic.	12/9/2019 3:05 PM
39	The Legislative Panel. Nice to here their take on it.	12/9/2019 3:05 PM
40	The mutual benefit .... felt like we are getting closer with partners and working towards the common goal.	12/9/2019 2:56 PM
41	Logistics were well organized. Easy to register and find the location and parking with all the signage. Email reminders were great.	12/9/2019 2:16 PM
42	Opportunity to meet people from around the state	12/9/2019 1:37 PM
43	the group in general from directors, coordinators, and inspectors and so on	12/9/2019 4:22 AM

Montana AIS Post-Summit Evaluation Survey

## Q5 What do you think could have been improved at this Summit?

Answered: 41 Skipped: 10


#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	I realize one can't always get the media to attend and followup with newspaper articles, yet additional outreach to the media might encourage more to attend.	12/17/2019 5:58 AM
2	The first break-out session was a little weak.	12/17/2019 1:21 AM
3	I would have liked to hear more expert opinions on new invaders which FWP feels could become an issue here.	12/17/2019 12:26 AM
4	It might have been helpful to have a short presentation from a water resources professional familiar wit mussel infestation impacts from first hand experience.	12/16/2019 9:25 PM
5	not much	12/16/2019 8:13 PM
6	Time allotted for breakout sessions, I felt they were too long, and would have preferred more time spent on Q & A for panelists	12/16/2019 7:15 PM
7	Possible start earlier the first day and end earlier the second day. Lots of people were leaving prior to the summit being over.	12/16/2019 6:51 PM
8	I would have enjoyed some science-based updates on invasives themselves, as well as some of the science that directs prevention and mitigation efforts.	12/16/2019 6:37 PM
9	Broader scope of panelists. Too many from the same ngo.	12/16/2019 6:34 PM
10	Nothing	12/16/2019 5:35 PM
11	Struggled with some of the questions in the break out groups. Not the best.	12/16/2019 5:23 PM
12	no suggestions	12/16/2019 5:19 PM
13	Great ground work for 1st Annual. Perhaps the addition of a mid-year teleconference call to touch base more frequency.	12/16/2019 4:51 PM
14	Panel Discussions.	12/16/2019 4:49 PM
15	More room to talk about constructive criticism.	12/16/2019 4:42 PM
16	include bordering states to add to the regional perspective & collaborative voice	12/16/2019 4:18 PM
17	I think having a chance for one break out group during the first day would help to get everyone talking faster.	12/16/2019 4:18 PM
18	There was limited discussion of future plans.	12/14/2019 5:49 PM
19	I don't mind walking because it is great exercise but I know that for some the location of the Summit versus where parking was available proved problematic	12/13/2019 10:53 PM
20	Switch tables on the first day	12/10/2019 5:11 PM
21	More time to talk about topics.	12/10/2019 2:12 PM
22	Not sure. It was more interesting and engaging than I expected!	12/9/2019 10:57 PM
23	I think there is value in having random people put together to hash ideas out but maybe also it would have been useful to get people with common programs together to discuss things. Breakout for WIS people or monitoring people, etc.	12/9/2019 10:34 PM
24	More representation from eastern Montana. Less finger pointing during some of the panels and more productive conversations.	12/9/2019 9:08 PM
25	I have no suggestions for improvement, it was wonderful!!	12/9/2019 6:08 PM

## Montana AIS Post-Summit Evaluation Survey

26	Panel moderator instructions (such as requesting them to include the questions they would be asking) would help in the future to provide consistency and for panelists to prepare better. Monitoring panel time was taken up primarily by FWP. That should have been a separate presentation.	12/9/2019 5:45 PM
27	Perhaps when the panels have a large presentation before the panelists speak, let the panelists wait to come up. It was hot and bright under the lights and we couldn't see the screens while we waited up there.	12/9/2019 5:22 PM
28	Recognizing all of the different groups at the summit.	12/9/2019 4:19 PM
29	The monitoring discussion and panel. It just felt like the same old things all over again. And I was confused by Craig's talk (specifically the lab capacity issue - I think there is some major disconnects there). Breakout sessions were good but the monitoring one, again, felt like nothing new or different came out of it.	12/9/2019 4:13 PM
30	There was a lot of talk, but I feel that we weren't getting at the actions that need to be taken. I believe the summit could have been improved had we talked about what Montana accomplished this year, and looked to what can be done in the future. Also, would like to hear about what neighboring states are doing to combat AIS. It's great that Montana is geared up to tackle AIS problems, but this needs to be a collective effort.	12/9/2019 4:08 PM
31	more education/conversation on science/research about AIS and the most effective means of monitoring.	12/9/2019 4:08 PM
32	More time for monitoring and early detection	12/9/2019 3:56 PM
33	The breakout sessions should be more focused. Maybe one thing that could be addressed or worked on in the upcoming year instead of vague.	12/9/2019 3:44 PM
34	One person in attendance could have been a team player instead of an arrogant jerk!	12/9/2019 3:17 PM
35	I thought it was a great Summit! Maybe start with a working lunch and presentation while everyone is eating. It was hard to talk and meet people while eating. Lunch would have made a great time to listen and learn from a presenter.	12/9/2019 3:12 PM
36	a reasonable priced venue is always hard to find, i personally like having them the same place i stay.	12/9/2019 3:05 PM
37	More cooperation and being on the same page. Understanding what the whole summit was for.	12/9/2019 3:05 PM
38	Nothing I can think of.	12/9/2019 2:56 PM
39	I don't think the facilitator did her job and she obviously didn't know anything about AIS, as some of her comments reflected her lack of knowledge. She didn't manage panels well and was more of a expensive stop watch. Also her voice was very grating on that mic. I don't think the break out activities were valuable. We would listen to a panel and then be asked the exact question the panel just addressed. We weren't asked to come up with concrete solutions for anything. We missed the "HOW" are we going to do this, instead of what needs to be done. It's easy to say what needs to be done, but coming up with the HOW would have been valuable. We didn't get enough time to talk to each other at the tables during introductions and breakouts. Should have been more engaging Wednesday afternoon so we got to know each other right away. Overall I was disappointed with the content of the summit.	12/9/2019 2:16 PM
40	CSKT's lack of interest in partnership	12/9/2019 1:37 PM
41	The first day not so late of a start	12/9/2019 4:22 AM

Q6 One last question...On a scale from 1 (very unlikely) to 5 (very likely), how likely are you to attend any future Montana AIS Summits?

Answered: 50 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
1 (very unlikely)	4.00%	2
2	4.00%	2
3	4.00%	2
4	12.00%	6
5 (very likely)	76.00%	38
TOTAL		50