

forms be allocated to this work. Anything less is a gross breach of your fiduciary duty to carefully manage Montana's wildlife as the public trust that it is.

Rocky Sehnert Clinton, MT

3. I propose to continue the nongame check-off workplan to be continued as it was prior to the 2021 legislature's changes to the workplan.

Arnold Sowa Helena, MT

4. Dear FWP: Right on. As far as I'm concerned the Non-Game Check-Off Plan could double its budget and that would be great for me. Cheers!

Bill Buckley Bozeman, MT

5. Micro manage the program and NOTHING will happen. My grandsons have a saying: "Grandpa what can you buy with that?" I was offering them \$100. The same is true with a \$5000 grant etc. What can you do with that? AND it it proposed to be competitive. How much time is a student going to put into a \$5000 grant. Writing up the summary will cost \$5000. Just give the money to MSU or UofM for nongame research. They are making some very interesting discoveries at Yellowstone Park and algae. This sense of micro management is going to result in the same result as the FWP computer software fiasco - there will be no bidders. \$30,000 is not going to go very far, won't even pay for a full time employee - not to mention the dollars needed for support & supplies - again, give it to MSU or UofM and hopefully they will parley it into a viable program. All of this monkey business for a lousy 30k.

Randall Knowles great falls, MT

6. I fully support the nongame check-off workplan. I would like this program and its staff to have more financial support and commitment from FWP. This is a critically important program of FWP, that has excellent educational benefits for all Montanans.

Steven Kloetzel Ovando, MT

7. I think that this is a good use of the spending I would support it, making sure that species of concern don't become extinct in Montana is very important for future hunters and anglers!

Jacob Hutchens Kalispell, MT

8. Habit improvement is not in a vacuum. If you improve the Habit for big game, small game, or NON-Game you improve the habit for all creatures which may or may not use the site. Non game check off allows for improvements or researcher of species which improve the intrinsic value of our state.

Michael McGouldrick Hamilton, MT

9. I support

Kristopher George Great Falls, MT

10. **Willard D. Ulry Olympia, WA**

11. I support the department's proposed nongame check off workplan. this plan will promote species diversity with in the state of Montana.

Tom Radandt Libby, MT

12. From: Clayton Elliott <clayton@montanatu.org>

Sent: Tuesday, July 6, 2021 2:05 PM

To: FWP Wildlife <fwpwld@mt.gov>

Cc: Worsech, Hank <Hank.Worsech@mt.gov>; Temple, Dustin <dtemple@mt.gov>; Ryce, Eileen <ERYce@mt.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] MTU public comments, Nongame Check-off Workplan

To Whom It May Concern:

Please find attached written comments from Montana Trout Unlimited regarding the proposed nongame check off workplan pending before the Fish and Wildlife Commission. Do not hesitate to contact me if you need additional information or have questions. Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Clayton Elliott

Clayton Elliott

Conservation and Government Affairs Director

Montana Trout Unlimited

clayton@montanatu.org

o: 406-543-0054

c: 307-272-6298

www.montanatu.org [montanatu.org]



