

MONTANA FISH, WILDLIFE & PARKS
PUBLIC COMMENTS
2021 HUNTING ACCESS AGREEMENTS

1. I don't hunt these areas, but it seems like if it is working for the land owners and the public that the agreement should continue. It seems only fair the land owners be granted tags for allowing public selected hunters to harvest off the property.

Jacob Hutchens Kalispell, MT

2. More land owner agreements must be secured as more out of staters moving into Montana are closing off more and more land to hunting.

William Higgs Livingston, MT

3. I support the departments proposal for hunting access agreements.

Tom Radandt Libby, MT

4. i would like to voice strong support for the swanz access agreement. in past years when i have drawn a permit the swanz family have provided myself and partners with a very special opprotunity to hunt elk. they are more than deserving of the family permits. thank you!

david kauffman ronan, MT

5. I am against this. It further provides money for landowners in exchange for hunting, when they get to choose who hunts there. If FWP is going to spend money I give to them to try to get landowners to open their property, don't allow landowners to choose the hunters. The access is either sold to the high bidder (leased) or purchased by public/FWP dollars. You are letting them have the cake and eat it too. If you are spending my dollars, do it in a manner which benefits everyone who pays those dollars.

patrick mccarthy Winston, MT

6. Well I fully support Montana's hunting access program, and believe it is the premier national program, I am strongly opposed to any program that provides a landowner the right to determine who gets tags. The landowner can choose who gets to hunt his/her property, but not who gets the privilege or receiving a tag. I am certain that if populations on a private land holding need to be managed, the landowner can find trustworthy and qualified individuals from the general population that they can allow to hunt. This is a Pandora's Box that leads to taking hunting rights from the general public and granting them to wealthy landowners.

William Anderson Butte, MT

7. I don't support

Kristopher George Great Falls, MT

8. I do not support this. It takes away the power of the public landowner. This benefits the wealthy and the corrupt political majority. Shame on you.

Patrick Colbert Missoula, MT

9. I do not agree with giving the landowners free permits because they offer very few people access. When I found a page that showed the ones who had permission on some of these restricted areas, most did not hunt there anyway. If they do not open the gate to a meaningful amount of people, let them watch the wildlife eat their hay. This legislative season had some bills pushed through at the last minute that will hurt us in the future. Let's not help shut us down.

Larry D Rattray Proctor, MT

10. Stop this

Noelia Vives Madriz Miami, FL

11. Why not limit the antlered animals on private property to immediate family. Only allowing extra tags if the property owners allow access to the public on their land. Many of the landowners abuse the ethics with guided hunts and then getting money for damaged crops per say. Nip that in the bud. The animals are not the landowners property like they want to believe.

William Norberg HELENA, MT

12. Privatizing wildlife - Bad. Incentivizing public access opportunities - Good.

Mark Johnstad Bozeman, MT

13. I propose that no non-resident hunters be allowed to participate in this program.

Jason Van Hyning Florence, MT

14. No changes should be made to existing regulations.

Chester Watson Missoula, MT