

FUTURE FISHERIES PROJECT RANKING SUMMER 2021 FUNDING CYCLE

Project ranking committee:

Terry Chute, Citizen Panel Representative; Michelle McGree, FWP; Trevor Watson, FWP

Montana ARM Rule 12.7.1203

(1) Eligible projects that have been approved by the review panel will be reviewed, evaluated and ranked by a committee that includes at least two department personnel with a background in fishery biology and an understanding of the habitat requirements of fish and one member of the review panel.

The Committee's ranking of the Future Fisheries Projects approved for funding by the Citizen Review Panel during the Summer 2021 funding cycle are as follows:

| Summer 2021 Future Fisheries Applications - Ranking scores | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| FINAL SCORES | | | | | |
| Project # | Project Name | Program cost (\$) | REVIEW Adjusted score (of 100) | Total Points (of 300) | REVIEW Rank |
| 013-2021 | Clark Fork River Flynn-Lowney ditch water savings | \$50,000.00 | 96 | 288 | 1 |
| 021-2021 | Trail Creek fish passage | \$14,300.00 | 93 | 278 | 2 |
| 015-2021 | Lick Creek culvert replacements | \$50,000.00 | 91 | 273 | 3 |
| 022-2021 | Willow Creek fish passage | \$8,200.00 | 91 | 272 | 4 |
| 019-2021 | Placid Lake outlet barrier improvement | \$10,020.00 | 87 | 262 | 5 |
| 009-2021 | Andrus Creek fish barrier | \$18,340.00 | 86 | 258 | 6 |
| 012-2021 | Big Hole Spokane Diversion flow improvement | \$33,360.48 | 84 | 252 | 7 |
| 011-2021 | Big Hole Daniels Ditch fish screen | \$30,000.00 | 84 | 251 | 8 |
| 014-2021 | Lake Elmo hab enh supplement 2 | \$33,700.00 | 82 | 247 | 9 |
| 020-2021 | SF Dry Cottonwood Creek road 85 fish passage | \$34,000.00 | 79 | 237 | 10 |
| 017-2021 | Mill Creek fish barrier | \$50,000.00 | 78 | 235 | 11 |
| 010-2021 | Beaver Creek restoration phase 2 | \$50,000.00 | 72 | 217 | 12 |
| 016-2021 | Little Park Creek restoration and WCT conservation | \$20,000.00 | 71 | 212 | 13 |
| 018-2021 | Miller Creek Bear Run stream restoration | \$15,000.00 | 62 | 185 | 14 |

Rankings are based on: 1) benefits to native fish; 2) public benefits; 3) importance of the waterbody; 4) long-term effectiveness; 5) benefits relative to cost; and 6) cost share. **The ranking committee and Citizen Review Panel recommends that prioritized projects receive final funding approval according to the Program cost (above).**