

# #1

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, December 17, 2021 6:58:51 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, December 17, 2021 7:01:06 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:15  
**IP Address:** 135.129.112.69

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Jerry McGuire**  
City/Town: **Thompson Falls**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **mangeymoose@yahoo.com**

---

## Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

HD 122 not enough deer for a does season, kerp special permits, no on in region 1 should be able to harvest 2 elk.

---

## #2

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, December 17, 2021 6:55:24 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, December 17, 2021 7:22:07 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:26:43  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

### Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Dorian Boling  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** dogsmontana@yahoo.com

---

### Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110,**  
**HD 130,**  
**HD 150,**  
**HD 200**

---

### Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I support all the above changes to HD 101,102,103,and 104. I do not support the combining of HD 101 and 109, both districts have different mule deer hunting opportunities and are not the same, no biological reason to have a larger area for a hybrid season because more mule deer may be taken from the original HD 109 location and it would create more of a problem with the locals on where one can hunt mule deer - they don't even know where the real 103-50 is- lots say Swede Mountain and the left side of hy2 from Libby to Kalispell is the trophy area- to keep hunters out. See my email in reference to 101 and 103 for deer comment, I emailed fwpwd@mt.gov . I support all other proposals for elk and deer for HDs ; 110,120,121,122,213,124, new hD 200, and any others I have missed in the above proposals for elk and deer in Region 1

---

# #3

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, December 17, 2021 9:40:54 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, December 17, 2021 9:51:10 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:10:16  
**IP Address:** 64.145.94.10

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **kyle mcnaughton**  
City/Town: **Heron**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **kcmcnaughton07@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

In HD 121 cow elk permit 121-02 used to be valid on the Heron flats and there was 20 permits available, now it was changed to private land in HD121, which opened it up to a lot more people but there is still only 20 permits available. It is much harder to obtain this permit now and there is a lot of cow elk on the farmers fields in the Heron flats and we need to either make more permits available or separate this into 2 different permits. Thanks

---

# #4

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, December 17, 2021 10:12:18 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, December 17, 2021 10:17:04 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:46  
**IP Address:** 72.174.79.19

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Shawn Moody  
**City/Town:** Kalispell  
**State/Province:** MT

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110,**  
**HD 130,**  
**HD 150**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Do away with cow elk hunting for a few years to let the herds grow back to what they were pre wolf

---

# #5

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Saturday, December 18, 2021 1:34:53 AM  
**Last Modified:** Saturday, December 18, 2021 1:55:06 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:20:12  
**IP Address:** 135.129.112.69

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Jerry  
**City/Town:** Thompson Falls  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** mangeymoose@yahoo.com

---

## Q2

**HD 200**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Poorly displayed, need to list all proposed changes from 2020/2021 to 2022/2023 proposals. See nothing in proposals to deal with low bull and cow per 100 in cows in Region 1. Going to B Elk tags so a person can shoot 2 elk in Region 1, REALLY. First week doe season in 122 very very bad idea, population is just starting to increase, what if we gave another bad winter? Let hold off till the next season setting go round 2024/2025.

Shorten season, start deer a week earlier and end the week before Thanksgiving. Shorten bow season to September, elk season Nov 1 till sunday after Thanksgiving, Excluding wilderness hunting districts.

We need proposal that address the problem of low elk number. As the Director say doing the same old thing year after year and expecting different results is the definition of insanity.

---

## #6

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Saturday, December 18, 2021 8:33:37 AM  
**Last Modified:** Saturday, December 18, 2021 9:25:21 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:51:43  
**IP Address:** 172.56.42.68

---

Page 1

### Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Neil Rye**  
City/Town: **Kalispell**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **nrye22@gmail.com**

---

### Q2

**HD 110**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

### Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I think the small amount of antlerless elk permits should remain available in HD 110. As for the changes in some of the region 1 districts, I think eliminating the antlerless elk permits and making antlerless elk B licenses available is a great idea.

---

# #7

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, December 19, 2021 5:20:07 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, December 19, 2021 5:25:55 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:05:48  
**IP Address:** 50.37.140.110

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Vic crace**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **gotwrestling@yahoo.com**

---

## Q2

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Going back to elk B tags makes it fair for us in region 1 again. When we had to apply for special permits it took us out of "trophy bull" drawings. The rest of the state could try later for B tags. Good job FWP!

---

# #8

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 20, 2021 9:41:21 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 20, 2021 9:50:09 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:08:48  
**IP Address:** 166.7.238.71

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	<b>Pat Price</b>
City/Town:	<b>Eureka</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>
Email Address:	<b>patand3girls@yahoo.com</b>

---

## Q2

**HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

HD 100 and 101 should have at least 500 whitetail doe tags issued to limit the extent of possible disease outbreaks. These tags should also be good anywhere in the districts, not just on private land. FWPs lack of whitetail management plan is ridiculous. If you have no over-arching plan, how can you tell if your management is working? There are too many whitetails and at the higher elevations they are pushing mule deer into the margins. Hunting is a management tool. The goal of FWP should not be hunting opportunity, it should be managing animal populations and one of the benefits of that management is hunting opportunities.

---

## #9

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 20, 2021 10:43:22 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 20, 2021 10:45:48 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:25  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

### Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Don**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **themountainhiker@yahoo.com**

---

### Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

### Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I want to see 102 and 103 combined with the removal of 103-50. Do not combine 101 and 109 because there is no biological reason to restrict more mule deer hunters in our region and those two hunting districts do not have the same hunting regulations it would not simplify anything

---

# #10

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 20, 2021 10:46:07 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 20, 2021 10:47:26 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:01:19  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Kathy**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **kathyp@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I am against combining 101 and 109, I am against 103-50 so if you combine 103 and 102 remove 103-50

---

# #11

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, December 17, 2021 7:22:34 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 20, 2021 10:53:14 AM  
**Time Spent:** Over a day  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Carla Fisher**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **carlafisher@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I do not support 103-50, combine 102 and 103 removing 103-50. Do not make 101 a hybrid season

---

# #12

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 20, 2021 10:53:18 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 20, 2021 10:54:14 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:00:55  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Ron**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Do not change mule deer hunting in 101, remove 103-50 and combine 103 and 102

---

# #13

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 20, 2021 10:54:20 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 20, 2021 10:55:02 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:00:42  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Frank H**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

No mule deer restrictions for 101, combine 102 and 103 removing 103-50

---

# #14

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 20, 2021 10:55:06 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 20, 2021 10:56:36 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:01:29  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Spencer H  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: (optional) **HD 101,**  
**HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

No more mule deer restrictions in our area, remove 103-50 and combine 102 and 103. simplify the regulations don't make mule deer hunters stop hunting because some want to kick other hunters out of the area

---

# #15

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 20, 2021 10:56:39 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 20, 2021 10:58:05 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:01:26  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Michel**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Don't care to have more mule deer restrictions up here, it is to darn confusing, 103-50 should be removed and combine 102 and 103, 101 and 109 should not be combined because the hunting districts are not the same

---

# #16

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 20, 2021 10:58:15 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 20, 2021 11:00:37 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:22  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Jeanett Maki  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

we need to keep hunters in the woods due to CWD in the area, I vote no to combine 101 and 109 , I also do not support 103-50 and think that 102 and 103 can be combined with the removal of 103-50

---

# #17

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 20, 2021 11:01:15 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 20, 2021 11:02:40 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:01:24  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Jeff Sharp  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: (optional) **HD 101,**  
**HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

My family of 5 are against more mule deer restrictions in 101, and we all are against 103-50. we have CWD in the area and kicking more hunters out of the woods is ridiculous

---

# #18

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 20, 2021 11:06:24 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 20, 2021 11:12:29 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:05  
**IP Address:** 161.7.39.7

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Tony Powell**  
City/Town: **Columbia Falls**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **tonypowell205@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I support replacing antlerless elk permits with b-tags where proposed in R1. This is simpler and allows me to continue applying for an permit to hunt a bull in a "trophy" district elsewhere in the state while also apply for a b-tag for a cow close to home.

---

# #19

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 20, 2021 3:46:04 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 20, 2021 3:51:21 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:05:16  
**IP Address:** 162.217.231.93

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Bob Seidel**  
City/Town: **Eureka**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **bjseidel@interbel.net**

---

## Q2

**HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Will the number of B Antlerless tags still total the same as in the original 101 & 109 in the new 101 unit?

---

# #20

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 20, 2021 7:08:59 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 20, 2021 7:26:12 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:17:13  
**IP Address:** 206.214.224.49

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Kurtis heyne  
**City/Town:** Troy  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** kurtisheyne657@gmail.com

---

## Q2

**HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Region 1 needs a 2-7 day either sex general tag season for elk. Example Change the first week of general rifle season to either sex. Also the new muzzleloader season should be either sex for deer and elk

---

# #21

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, December 21, 2021 11:29:38 AM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 21, 2021 12:24:49 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:55:10  
**IP Address:** 161.7.39.7

---

Page 1

**Q1** Respondent skipped this question

Contact information: (optional)

---

**Q2** Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

**Q3**  
Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

HD 170. General Elk. Only valid north of Lake Blaine Road and west of Hwy 35. This is the most confusing restriction description- could it be change to be easily understandable?

---

# #22

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, December 21, 2021 12:43:40 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 21, 2021 12:44:19 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:00:39  
**IP Address:** 161.7.39.7

---

Page 1

**Q1** Respondent skipped this question

Contact information: (optional)

---

**Q2** HD 103,

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: HD 200  
(optional)

---

**Q3**  
Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I like the change

---

# #23

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, December 21, 2021 3:53:31 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 21, 2021 4:33:20 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:39:48  
**IP Address:** 170.144.192.71

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Cole Erickson  
**City/Town:** Kalispell  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** cehunter17@gmail.com

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

### Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

First I would like to say I am adamantly opposed to the Trophy Mule Deer Unit. This has severely limited hunter opportunities in and around Libby in regards to mule deer hunting. It has thus created undo pressure every where else in the area compound that with the CWD areas and there will hardly be animals left to hunt. This Trophy unit is not achieving the public advocates idea of trophy animals. The animals are the same size and same numbers as they used to be and in order to find these large mature animals you need to hike upwards of 3+ miles to find them not road hunting like most people do. The only thing this unit has done is turned law abiding citizen hunters into photographers and reducing their opportunity to take nice mule deer bucks if they work for it. Now instead we have increased poaching with little to know enforcement...when there is only 1 game warden for the entire area and people are fed up with this unit that they are just poaching. In the last three years Ive seen more deer poached in this special unit that I dont feel as if its achieving the desired outcome that our local taxidermists were advocating for. This unit is not founded in sound research and has not proven a success. Why do we continue to cater to a select group of loud individuals "taxidermists" who could possible benefit from turning a great hunting unit into a trophy unit. Who in their right mind would want to give up hunting bucks to make the draw as bad as drawing a moose tag. This has created a terrible outcome and that is having farther reaching consequences than what is being known. Why are we promoting greater buck numbers when it has been proven that increased mule deer buck numbers is counter to CWD measures. This trophy unit needs to be removed everyone I know who hunts this area is opposed to this trophy unit and there has been a ton of rumors of this trophy unit getting removed and everyone is ecstatic about it but then I speak with a game warden and they tell me that its not and actually counter to the public. I think the problem is a select group aka the people who wanted it in the first place aka the taxidermist group want to keep it. Please for the sake of hunter opportunity and scientific research remove this trophy buck unit. In addition I have no problems combining 102 and 103 as long as the number Elk B tags remains the same and its not reduced to half with twice as many hunters hunting one unit for example if 102 has 25 tags and 103 has 25 tags it doesnt become one unit with 25 tags rather it becomes 103 with 50 tags to help with regulation simplification. Otherwise I am not opposed to this combination. But remove the trophy unit.

---

# #24

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, December 21, 2021 7:38:39 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 21, 2021 7:47:42 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:09:02  
**IP Address:** 174.22.1.203

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Terry Zink**  
City/Town: **Marion**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **zinksbigskyarcherytargets@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Everything looked like our region 1 staff did a great job.

---

# #25

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, December 21, 2021 8:04:41 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 21, 2021 8:11:57 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:07:16  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	<b>Anonymous</b>
City/Town:	<b>Libby</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: (optional)

	<b>HD 101,</b>
	<b>HD 103</b>

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Follow the mule deer adaptive harvest plan, that FWP Commissioners updated this year. Remove 103-50 because commissioner region 1 said in the meeting Feb 2017 it was for social reasons not biological,so it is fair to say that it did not meet the objectives that are in the plan. Why do we have the plan then,why not just do everything for social reasons? Also do not combine 101 and 109 for the same reasons . Only implement restricted HDs as defining biological reasons that are listed in the mule deer adaptive harvest plan, and only for biological reasons. Everyone that supports 103-50 says it was done for biological reasons how ever newspapers and the commision meeting said different. So which one is FWP and new Commissioners, are you going to do things for biology or social?

---

# #26

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, December 22, 2021 8:26:51 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, December 22, 2021 8:57:57 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:31:05  
**IP Address:** 50.46.30.41

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Thomas Erickson**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **tkerickson83@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

My opinion is to just leave things the way they are.

---

# #27

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, December 23, 2021 7:56:42 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, December 23, 2021 7:59:59 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:03:17  
**IP Address:** 71.181.18.240

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Latimer Hoke  
**City/Town:** Eureka  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** latimerhoke@gmail.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: (optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Removing the antlerless deer opportunity in the last week of the season on private land (excluding commercial logging land).

I do not understand the justification for this...something about "widespread land ownership changes." It has always been the hunter's responsibility to know what land they are on...so this seems like a moot point. Further, there are SO MANY deer in this area, and having that backup opportunity in the final week is valuable.

---

# #28

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, December 23, 2021 7:04:50 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, December 23, 2021 7:24:36 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:19:46  
**IP Address:** 107.77.227.79

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Michael Rooney**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **kootfish@yahoo.com**

---

## Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

You have pretty much eliminated antlerless Elk permits throughout Region 1, which is a real bust for hunters in NW Montana, and FWP knows our Elk population, especially in Lincoln County, has been decimated by predators further limiting elk harvesting by licensed hunters. If MT FWP can transplant Rocky Mountain Bighorn Sheep, I propose that MT FWP remove excess elk from central Montana private and public properties where they are deemed to be overpopulated and transplant them to areas in Lincoln County and Region 1. I was a member of Region One's FWP Citizens' Advisory Committee several years ago, am 74-years old, and have been a resident of Lincoln County since I was 7-years old. I know that Lincoln County can certainly handle a few hundred more Elk, and I'll bet this idea would be very palatable to private landowners who don't want to entertain us ordinary Montana citizens hunting on their properties.

---

# #29

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, December 23, 2021 7:39:39 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, December 23, 2021 7:54:40 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:15:01  
**IP Address:** 72.160.15.89

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Ken Kaufman**  
City/Town: **Kalispell**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **kbkaufman@centurytel.net**

---

## Q2

**HD 130**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I support adding either sex whitetail harvest during the first week of the season. I also want to offer for your consideration, to allow senior citizens to take either sex whitetail during the entire season, or at least most of the season, in districts where whitetail populations are thriving. (i.e. District 121). Fwp could define what age qualifies as senior, maybe 70? this would take some pressure off the bucks, and allow old folks to succeed earlier in season. My experience in 121 is typically seeing 40-50 does for every buck, and most of the bucks are immature. This could be a management tool used in many other districts, as well. Thank you for considering this. Ken Kaufman, Kalispell

---

# #30

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, December 23, 2021 7:47:18 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, December 23, 2021 8:09:47 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:22:28  
**IP Address:** 107.191.171.95

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Brent Cooper**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **coopers354@hotmail.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

This is not so much a comment on a change being this has been in the regulations for the last couple of seasons and believe that it needs some more thought into the way it is being managed. The CWD permits in and around Lincoln county seem to be a free-for-all on either sex whitetail deer, not sure what we are accomplishing here?? I feel like the either sex regulation as well as allowing out-of - states to come in and hunt potential trophy whitetail bucks with an \$70 OTC permit is a pretty shitty management tactic! I feel that this is putting a lot more stress on our mule deer bucks as well, you are allowing hunters to go out early in November and kill a mule deer buck with their general tag knowing that they can just pull out their CWD permit later in the month to hunt the whitetail rut. Since when is it a good idea to let every hunter in the county harvest two bucks every year if they want to?? I would like to see some information on how this is going to fix the CWD issue, just doesn't seem like a great management tool!

---

# #31

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, December 23, 2021 8:45:57 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, December 23, 2021 9:27:13 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:41:15  
**IP Address:** 174.242.233.29

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Rahn Axtell  
**City/Town:** Kalispell  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** Rahn.axtell@gmail.com

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

The combination of units 102 and 103 is a smart change. In reference to the 25 cow elk permits be changed to B tags will allow folks to put in for trophy bull units for their A tag. Some folks don't want people to shoot two elk in this unit if they have a B tag. Just say that if they are drawn for a B tag in 103 then they can not use their general A tag in this unit. Since 102 and 103 are combined now the elk B tags should be 30 tags instead of the proposed 25. The two units totaled 30 cow elk tags. The first 9 days of season should allow any deer, buck/doe, mule deer or whitetail. There are enough mule deer doe that they should be allowed to take one the first 9 days of season. Thank you

---

# #32

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, December 26, 2021 9:40:20 AM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, December 26, 2021 10:04:51 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:24:30  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Anonymous**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

### Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

103-50 was not supported by FWP, had I biological reasons when approved threw the old commision- it is stated at the meeting that it was for social reasons, yet everything that was posted in public forums pushed to "save" the mule deer population. The local biologist was stated in one of the local newspapers " overall changes to limit buck harvest, like this permit proposal recommends, are un-likely to change mule deer population". The public was attentionally left out and the citizens were told to save the mule deer population. The information that was given was cherry picked to not give all information. Listening to the audio of the commision meeting online comments against 103-50 we're not counted nor recognized. FWP is corrupted and only listen to the trophy hunters who have always been out numbered by the other hunters. The people that started this says they worked on the proposal for months but never publicly engaged the people nor would they listen or show them respect. The initial meeting was a joke to scope the public. Niel Anderson even states in the last commision meeting that restrictions won't change the over all population. 103-50 should be removed because the area is confusing to the local hunters and a lot of them have quit hunting I am sure that shows in the FWP harvest information. this area had no biological reasoning and did not fit the adaptive harvest plan, nor does it fit the current newly adoptive one just ask Tonya Chilton. HD 101 does not need to become a hybrid season. There is no biological reason for it. The Libby area hunters are aging and getting out of the game. We need all the hunters we can have in the woods to help keep a eye out for poachers and CWD. CWD will hurt our mule deer population if we give it a chance to get into our herds, the most effective way to prevent it is with hunters. We had more youth and new hunters getting in the field 4years ago, some have stopped hunting and others have become better hunters. The trophy deer are out there, bad genes are out there. The opurtunity for trophy hunters are unlimited if they want to chase them, but they should not be making other hunters feel bad about the deer that was bagged. Hunter shamming is a problem. Lying about where 103-50 is a problem up here. You asked FWP to simplify the regulations so simplify them, combine HD 102and 103 removing 103-50, do not combine 101 and 109. Do not add restrictions when FWP does not back them and they do not fit the harvest plan. If there were biological reasons for 103-50 then the commissioners in the February meeting would have known that, they did not they stated more then once it was done for social reasons. So I guess I should work on getting a wolf trophy area going I bet I could find 300 people to agree to that bigger wolves equal bigger payout for the hide (save the wolf limit a area due to over harvesting), then I will not alert the public , I will have to find some people that hold power to scare the citizens from making comment, so the "overwhelming majority" only goes in my favor even though most people in Montana wouldn't support it and I can cherry pick the data lie to people saying save the wolf, let's face it there are groups against wolf hunting so making a limited permit for a trophy area could be backed, if I do it right it would slip threw with out many objections, ... But that isn't how it should work even though that is how 103-50 became.

# #33

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 27, 2021 7:40:40 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 27, 2021 8:06:06 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:25:25  
**IP Address:** 170.144.214.214

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Cy Hanson**  
City/Town: **Bigfork**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **cyhanson@hotmail.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 130**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Don't understand why you are removing 5 antlerless elk permits and not replacing with 5 antlerless elk B licenses. From what I have seen in the growth of elk populations in the swan valley I don't see why it wouldn't support 5 antlerless elk harvests a year?

---

# #34

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 27, 2021 8:30:41 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 27, 2021 8:49:53 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:19:11  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Anonymous**  
City/Town: **Troy**  
State/Province: **MT**

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

The citizens advisory committee only contacts the hunters that support trophy hunting, not all the other hunters or tries to engage the public properly. FWP public scoped to make all of region 1 a hybrid season. What happened to that was there not enough support for that change interlaced with lack of support for 103-50 that the whole thing died. Listening to the FWP commision meeting a few years ago what happened to the emails, and online comments against 103-50 why were those removed? But the signature sheets that ran twice locally for 103-50 we're both excepted. It wouldn't shock me if the first 200 something supporters just resubmitted Thier support and got counted twice. Hinky hinky going ons. You want the public to engage with FWP but historically up here the public doesn't know what is going on, Boone but Dorian Boling has tried to reach out a lot and share information. I wish more people were like Boling, in afraid to have her public comments written down for all to see, no fear of repercussions from her bank or social circles. Small towns suck for things like this. I know there was online comments against 103-50 originally that got disappeared, I bet the same is happing know, someone is cherry picking the data and being technical about it. If majority didn't support all of region 1 becoming a hybrid season, then maybe Dorian is right and the majority doesn't want it and the supporters don't want the fight because they can not be honest and reach to all hunters without shamming the competition. Email Dorian if you want to see how people interacted with her, I am sure you have her email and I am sure she has screen shots, but at least she reached out to the public more effectively then anyone else, but it should of been FWP doing it or the original supporters. If not FWP is only catering to selfish reasons and have people working in FWP that want to gain support for Thier own person vendettas when people in FWP should not be opinionated because of the positions of power held ! I vote no on the new HD 101 with a hybrid season for mule deer, and I vote to remove 103-50 because both areas have no biological reason to exists, they will support social reasons not biological which is not FWP job, had fear mongering, local lies and confusion of were the area is, hunters kicking other hunters off of public grounds, and shamming...lots and lots of hunters shamming other hunters because Thier deer isn't big enough.

---

# #35

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 27, 2021 9:13:12 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 27, 2021 9:21:26 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:08:13  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Anonymous**  
City/Town: **Troy**  
State/Province: **MT**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Here is food for thought,if 103-50 is supported By the over welming majority of local hunters then how come there are only around 200 people that put in to hunt that area,strange knowing Mercer originally had 200 give or take comments threw public scoping to support 103-50 to begin. I didn't realize the so called majority was 200 and something people let a lone people still think the commision did it for biological reasons even when the commision said it was for social reasons, get your facts straight quite covering up,making excuses, lying , bulling and shamming other hunters to get Thier voice silenced. Take a look around the average Joe does not want draw areas when there is no reason to have them. Quit supporting hunters that want to kick others out of the woods! No on what ever that confusing HD 101 wants to become and remove 103-50

---

# #36

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, December 27, 2021 1:18:12 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, December 27, 2021 1:21:03 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:51  
**IP Address:** 107.191.163.8

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Denita Wills**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **denitawills@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Please do not further regulate and restrict. CWD needs to be managed. Further restrictions will allow it to spread. It is not just the animals in the city limits we need to consider.

---

# #37

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, December 28, 2021 9:35:51 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, December 28, 2021 9:39:42 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:03:51  
**IP Address:** 50.52.16.176

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Darcy sansaver**  
City/Town: **LIBBY**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **darcysansaver@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

No more CWD TAGS ... DEER POPULATIONS ARE DOWN AND OUT OF STATE HUNTERS ARE RAMBAND.

---

#38

COMPLETE

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, December 29, 2021 2:12:36 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, December 29, 2021 2:24:14 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:11:38  
**IP Address:** 206.183.119.13

---

Page 1

### Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Jim Allen  
**City/Town:** Ronan  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** jimandhollyallen@gmail.com

---

### Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

### Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Please do not allow unlimited antlerless for permits to hunt from a vehicle. Let them draw from the total number of permits issued in 2021 but only give to those who have permits to hunt from a vehicle. So for instance 121 would be 180 total brown tine bull or antlerless elk for disability hunters.

---

#39

COMPLETE

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, December 29, 2021 2:24:17 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, December 29, 2021 2:24:30 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:00:12  
**IP Address:** 206.183.119.13

---

Page 1

### Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Jim Allen  
**City/Town:** Ronan  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** jimandhollyallen@gmail.com

---

### Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

### Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Please do not allow unlimited antlerless for permits to hunt from a vehicle. Let them draw from the total number of permits issued in 2021 but only give to those who have permits to hunt from a vehicle. So for instance 121 would be 180 total brown tine bull or antlerless elk for disability hunters.

---

# #40

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, December 30, 2021 1:10:00 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, December 30, 2021 1:16:00 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:00  
**IP Address:** 50.37.204.91

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	<b>Mark Mason</b>
City/Town:	<b>Libby</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>
Email Address:	<b>masonite57@gmail.com</b>

---

## Q2

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Quit selling Over the Counter Whitetail tags in the area around Libby in the CWD Zone - The numbers of out state hunters and the hunters shooting two bucks in this zone is ruining any semblance of hunting, roads in this area and driven constantly and out of state plates out number residents. Don't sell over the counter whitetail tags to non-residents.

---

# #41

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, December 30, 2021 2:39:03 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, December 30, 2021 2:59:55 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:20:52  
**IP Address:** 216.47.52.114

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **MATTHEW COCKRELL**  
City/Town: **PLAINS**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **mncockrell@live.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 200**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I feel that combining hd123, hd124 and hd 200 in to one district is a mistake. All 3 of those districts have had a drastic decline in game numbers. By making 1 district it will allow all hunters to hunt in the few areas within the district that have game. With 3 districts it helped spread hunters out. I would like to the districts left the same. I would also like to see all cow permits eliminated in those 3 districts.

---

# #42

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Saturday, January 01, 2022 10:38:20 AM  
**Last Modified:** Saturday, January 01, 2022 10:44:19 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:05:58  
**IP Address:** 162.244.172.12

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Ron Hvizdak**  
City/Town: **Eureka**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **hviz@interbel.net**

---

## Q2

**HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I think that the shoulder seasons in HD 101 and 109 should be terminated. The elk in this area are not over objective. The vast majority of cows being killed are being done so on property where the owners do not see them as a nuisance either. Also, it makes no sense to be disturbing elk during a critical time of year for them when at the same time FWP does not allow access on some of their properties during the winter season to reduce stress on the animals. It is hypocritical to say that we can't disturb elk in winter on public winter range, but to go ahead and disturb them on private land, especially when the landowners don't really mind them on their property. If some landowners feel that elk are bothering them, they should then be taken care of through the damage hunt program where they can be target for removal.

---

# #43

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Saturday, January 01, 2022 10:44:31 AM  
**Last Modified:** Saturday, January 01, 2022 10:44:34 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:00:03  
**IP Address:** 162.244.172.12

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Ron Hvizdak  
**City/Town:** Eureka  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** hviz@interbel.net

---

## Q2

**HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I think that the shoulder seasons in HD 101 and 109 should be terminated. The elk in this area are not over objective. The vast majority of cows being killed are being done so on property where the owners do not see them as a nuisance either. Also, it makes no sense to be disturbing elk during a critical time of year for them when at the same time FWP does not allow access on some of their properties during the winter season to reduce stress on the animals. It is hypocritical to say that we can't disturb elk in winter on public winter range, but to go ahead and disturb them on private land, especially when the landowners don't really mind them on their property. If some landowners feel that elk are bothering them, they should then be taken care of through the damage hunt program where they can be target for removal.

---

# #44

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Saturday, January 01, 2022 3:29:12 PM  
**Last Modified:** Saturday, January 01, 2022 3:34:10 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:57  
**IP Address:** 184.167.49.62

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Sarah Yerkes  
**City/Town:** Kalispell  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** seyerkes@gmail.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110,**  
**HD 130,**  
**HD 150,**  
**HD 200**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I do not agree with all PTHFV being able to hunt antlersless elk. In district number 130 for example there are 0 special permits for antlerless elk yet anyone who has PTHFV can shoot one. This will not help grow the population in these areas.

---

# #45

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Saturday, January 01, 2022 3:37:46 PM  
**Last Modified:** Saturday, January 01, 2022 3:44:13 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:26  
**IP Address:** 184.167.49.62

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Jeff Yerkes  
**City/Town:** Kalispell  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** jgyerkes@gmail.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110,**  
**HD 130,**  
**HD 150,**  
**HD 200**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I disagree with PTHFV being able to shoot antlerless elk. We don't have a set number of people who can have PTHFV so in theory there could be 1,000 people hunting antlerless elk in for instance district 130 that doesn't even have a high enough elk population to support any antlerless special permits.

---

# #46

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Saturday, January 01, 2022 3:44:35 PM  
**Last Modified:** Saturday, January 01, 2022 3:47:14 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:38  
**IP Address:** 184.167.49.62

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Scott Fraser**  
City/Town: **Kalispell**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **scottfixit33@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110,**  
**HD 130,**  
**HD 150,**  
**HD 200**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I disagree with all PTHFV being able to shoot antlerless elk. I believe it will be detrimental for the elk population in multiple districts.

---

# #47

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Saturday, January 01, 2022 3:47:29 PM  
**Last Modified:** Saturday, January 01, 2022 4:00:34 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:13:04  
**IP Address:** 184.167.49.62

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Kathy Fraser**  
City/Town: **Kalispell**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **kfraser529@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110,**  
**HD 130,**  
**HD 150,**  
**HD 200**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I disagree with all PTHFV being able to harvest antlerless elk. The elk population is struggling in many of these districts and there would be no limit on the amount of antlerless elk being able to be harvested.

---

# #48

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Saturday, January 01, 2022 7:39:49 PM  
**Last Modified:** Saturday, January 01, 2022 7:42:58 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:03:09  
**IP Address:** 174.247.146.198

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Zachary fisher  
**City/Town:** Kalispell  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** zjfisher02@gmail.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: (optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 130**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I disagree with the proposed change of letting hunters with PTHFV be able to harvest an antlerless elk with their general elk tag

---

# #49

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Saturday, January 01, 2022 7:34:28 PM  
**Last Modified:** Saturday, January 01, 2022 7:43:02 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:08:34  
**IP Address:** 184.166.46.22

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Kate Opre**  
City/Town: **Kalispell**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **artbykateopre@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103,**  
**HD 130**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I disagree with the proposed idea of letting hunters with permission to hunt from their vehicles with PTHFV to be able to harvest an antler less elk with their general tag.

---

#50

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, January 02, 2022 5:39:09 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, January 02, 2022 5:51:18 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:12:08  
**IP Address:** 69.146.24.27

---

Page 1

**Q1** Respondent skipped this question

Contact information: (optional)

---

**Q2** HD 101,

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: HD 103  
(optional)

---

**Q3**  
Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I say go for it

---

# #51

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 03, 2022 4:50:35 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 03, 2022 4:59:45 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:09:10  
**IP Address:** 206.214.232.69

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	<b>Sue McGuire</b>
City/Town:	<b>THOMPSON FALLS</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>
Email Address:	<b>suezmoose@gmail.com</b>

---

## Q2

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

This mainly pertains to HD 122. The deer population in this district is so low harvesting Does the 1st week of season is a horrible idea and changing from special Elk permits to an Elk B tag in Region 1 allows a hunter to harvest 2 Elk, there are not that many Elk, again... A HORRIBLE IDEA!!!

---

# #52

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 03, 2022 6:30:52 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 03, 2022 7:06:28 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:35:35  
**IP Address:** 107.191.163.210

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Shawn Ray  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** shawnkray@yahoo.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 200**

---

**Q3**

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Do NOT agree with proposal for the region 1 district within the Lincoln County boundary. Once again Neil Anderson has not listened nor properly communicated with residents of Lincoln County pertaining to what he has proposed. 103-50 is a zone the locals were not properly advised about nor did Neil take the local biologists information when accepting this proposal. The special Mule deer hunt is not managed nor can the FG wardens properly patrol the boundary in order to educate or enforce the illegal harvesting. With the original proposal some of the residents took it as a "saving the mule deer population via harvesting". They did not realize it was a draw situation with minimal numbers. Many suggestions came into FG and Neil pertaining the mule deer and one that most agree with are increasing wolf and cat harvesting to cut down on the predator kills. In addition there was a suggestion to adopt a point restriction to harvesting. This could include a fork or 3 point or better. Neil hold that the point restriction does not work but there are many states and articles that support this type of harvest restrictions. He has continued to state the states with those restrictions are trying to remove those regulations, but I ask him which states are removing the point restrictions? The out of state area I have hunted have never mentioned removing these regulations. Infact they promote the percentages of large mule deer harvesting they have. I do Not support continuing the 103-50 zone as the agency can not support enforcing or monitoring this ridiculous hunting zone. It is also time to get rid of the CWD tag. Otherwise the numbers will drop significantly and the traditional hunting seasons and harvesting will be effected. Too many folks are harvesting CWD animals that are not really within the city limits or within close proximity to the higher concentrated areas. I do NOT support the shorter season proposal for whitetail or mule deer. After talking to multiple residents many are frustrated with the lack of communication and effort to include the Lincoln County residents and in fact many are not aware of these proposal AGAIN as many do not have consistent internet access as you all experienced during your January 3rd meeting. Make the extra effort to hold local onsite meetings, use phone surveys similar to harvesting, and of course do not cancel at last minute like Neil did this year. I do NOT support changing the season for WT deer. I do however support reducing the amount of over the counter tags to keep numbers from dropping. Also support placing point restriction to fork or better to take off added pressure for spike or smaller for B tag harvest. For mule deer best to have point restriction and get rid of 103-50 zone! If you are adamant of having a special mule deer hunt the have if for the last week of season with general tag for early season so it can be enforced and managed. Also disappointing your zoom meeting was not accessible by everyone and the zoom address link was not included to add to the zoom website. Please include meeting address and access similar to the phone line which I had to use and could not see the presentation.

---

# #53

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 03, 2022 7:13:14 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 03, 2022 7:17:09 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:03:55  
**IP Address:** 98.146.155.125

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Kelly Ray**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **krazray6872@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Get rid of the trophy hunt on Mule deer and leave the elk season the way it is. No need to change seasons to accommodate the out of state hunters

---

# #54

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, January 04, 2022 8:54:40 AM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, January 04, 2022 9:03:25 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:08:45  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Anonymous**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Watching the FWP meeting last night there was even confusion about 103-50. The area is confusing up here, and the real location of the area is also confusing because some hunters are leading others astray. To keep things simplified, and reasonable between the two groups in town, Mercer and Boling I believe that removing 103-50 should happen while combining 102 and 103, that trophy area should of never happened, no supporting biology, FWP did not support it, and I don't even understand how that area got picked to be fine with. I believe removing 103-50 and combining 102 and 103, while also combining 101 and 109 would be a negotiation between the social side of it up here. From what I can see there is no biology backing the extension of the hybrid area and no biology backing 103-50. So for social reasons if the commission wants to negotiate there is my opinion, if the commission does not want to negotiate then leave everything alone, if FWP wants to simplify the regs up here then removing 103-50 would do that. Boling asked last night about CWD and the answer that she was given was there was one south of that area and we need more samples. So does FWP want more people in the woods to help control it or do you want small groups to keep kicking mule deer hunters out of our local woods.

---

#55

COMPLETE

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, January 04, 2022 2:12:42 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, January 04, 2022 2:32:06 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:19:24  
**IP Address:** 50.52.9.98

---

Page 1

### Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: jay jordan  
City/Town: troy  
State/Province: MT  
Email Address: jjndj@hotmail.com

---

### Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

### Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I love the new muzzleloader season. With the price of meat going through the roof as of late. What a great opportunity for hunters trying to fill the freezer with meat for the family. On that note I think either sex for whitetail deer and elk in the week long muzzleloader season would be another great option.

Thank you, A Montana Resident

---

# #56

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, January 05, 2022 9:18:54 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, January 05, 2022 9:31:41 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:12:47  
**IP Address:** 135.129.112.68

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Gerald R McGuire  
**City/Town:** Thompson Falls  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** [mangeymoose@yahoo.com](mailto:mangeymoose@yahoo.com)

---

## Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

After watching the Region 1 Zoom meeting, i am completely confused. Instead simplifying the regulation they are more confusing then ever.

Suggestion leave 2020/2021 regulation in place, put together a group of every day sportsman to come up with simplification with legal counsel. The Commission and FWP would be advisors, not authors.

Most of the Sportsman that purchase a license are ordinary every day people, that want a simple spelled out difference between 2020/2021 and 2021/2023 changes. Not digging through all the documents on the web.

---

# #57

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 06, 2022 8:46:10 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 06, 2022 8:46:26 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:00:15  
**IP Address:** 184.166.77.132

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Henry D McHenry**  
City/Town: **Missoula**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **mchenrydustin@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

As a public land hunter, I really appreciate the motivation to improve opportunity and access for elk hunting. But it seems like you're thinking about it backwards. Making either-sex/antlerless tags valid on public land only improves hunting on private land. You know this. It doesn't matter what you call it--permit, B license, whatever it may be. Reducing cow tags and restricting their use to public pushes elk to private land, and in most units will not reduce populations. If you're saying we have too many elk, that must mean that we aren't shooting enough of them. My thought then is that we should pressure elk to public land by increasing opportunities on private land. Thanks for your time and effort.

---

# #58

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 06, 2022 10:44:57 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 06, 2022 10:51:35 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:37  
**IP Address:** 150.131.67.180

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	<b>Charles Palmer</b>
City/Town:	<b>Missoula</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>
Email Address:	<b>grizzlycharlie@gmail.com</b>

---

## Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

With all due respect FWP, get your shit together. Trust your biologists and wardens. Follow the science. Please quit playing politics with one of our state's most valuable resources: its deer and elk. Research and the funding that goes with it are the key to understanding our big game populations and how they are faring on the landscape. Decisions made should be based on the best available science and research that you have, not on the whims of some politician who is more concerned with where the next campaign contribution is coming from and is not looking out for the average Montana outdoorsperson. Charlie Palmer

---

# #59

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 06, 2022 1:10:18 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 06, 2022 1:30:51 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:20:33  
**IP Address:** 65.19.236.46

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Mandy White**  
City/Town: **Eureka**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **mandy.ryberg@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I oppose the removal of the last week of the season doe opportunity. I think that's a way people are just hunting for meat that they can go out to get some or maybe shoot a pesky doe that won't stay out of their yard. I would think that it eases the pressure on the little bucks the last week of the season as well. If you kill all your little bucks off there won't be as many the following year. I do support upping the doe tags. We have many nuisance deer that are constantly eating things there not supposed to. Maybe some hunting pressure would help keep them out of peoples yards.

---

# #60

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 06, 2022 2:38:12 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 06, 2022 2:45:18 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:07:05  
**IP Address:** 161.7.40.254

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Douglas Browning**  
City/Town: **Plains**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **pIn3100@yahoo.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 200**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Why? What makes you think this is a good idea and how will it be better? This boundary change makes no sense to me. All it does is bring more people over the hill from St Regis making more road issues and the small elk numbers we have on the 124 side. It would be nice to see what makes this a good change? Looks like you want more out of state hunting and more money for less game animals that are left. I DONT like this change. Prove to me how this will be better!!

---

# #61

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 06, 2022 2:44:37 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 06, 2022 2:47:48 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:03:10  
**IP Address:** 64.25.135.57

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Greg Hinkle**  
City/Town: **Thompson Falls**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **gghink44@blackfoot.net**

---

## Q2

**HD 200**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Totally opposed to this change. It will make it more difficult to manage because there are habitat differences. Elk numbers are down in existing Unit 123, cut the cow tags by at least 50%.

---

# #62

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 06, 2022 2:35:24 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 06, 2022 2:56:59 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:21:34  
**IP Address:** 174.204.6.11

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Carl Flesch**  
City/Town: **Shelby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **fleschgoldengrains@gmail.com**

---

## Q2 **HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I think combining 109 into the proposed 101 will be a good thing for spreading out mule deer buck hunting pressure.

---

# #63

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 07, 2022 9:05:14 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 07, 2022 9:19:21 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:14:06  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	<b>Anonymous</b>
City/Town:	<b>Libby</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: (optional)

	<b>HD 101,</b>
	<b>HD 103</b>

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

The last Region 1 zoom meeting ,there was confusion about 103-50 and the potential hybrid season of 101 . 103-50 should be removed, it only confuses people on where it really is. If you are trying to kick more mule deer hunters out of the woods then keep it and combine 101 and 109 and add to the confusion around here, Because MOST folks up here don't or can't read maps, I don't know why but that is a problem. I further prove that this is a problem because last night at their in person meeting, apparently there is a problem with miscommunication of CWD surveillance area and management zone and the ability to read a map and look up the definitions. Niel Anderson said that this was a problem and people even locals to deer in the wrong area because of a problem with On X, but still the fault of the hunter forgot reading, looking up the definitions and knowing how to read a map. Also it was said that there was positive CWD close to the southern border of 103-50. We need more hunters in the woods to help contain it. I believe 103-50 took away oportunities and limited the mule deer hunting up here,a slippery slope to go down. I believe if their intentions were true and they pushed so hard up here about population and saving mule deer that they would like a larger area, and the oportunity hunters just want to have oportunity so I support removing 103-50, combining 102 and 103, combining 109 and 101 creating the larger 101with the hybrid season. I think this gives oportunity to both groups without taking away a large oportunity from the local area hunters and would simplify the areas and mule deer hunting up here.

---

# #64

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 07, 2022 9:19:28 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 07, 2022 10:30:31 AM  
**Time Spent:** 01:11:02  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	<b>Anonymous</b>
City/Town:	<b>Libby</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: (optional)

	<b>HD 101,</b>
	<b>HD 103</b>

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

The last Region 1 zoom meeting ,there was confusion about 103-50 and the potential hybrid season of 101 . 103-50 should be removed, it only confuses people on where it really is. If you are trying to kick more mule deer hunters out of the woods then keep it and combine 101 and 109 and add to the confusion around here, Because MOST folks up here don't or can't read maps, I don't know why but that is a problem. I further prove that this is a problem because last night at their in person meeting, apparently there is a problem with miscommunication of CWD surveillance area and management zone and the ability to read a map and look up the definitions. Niel Anderson said that this was a problem and people even locals to deer in the wrong area because of a problem with On X, but still the fault of the hunter forgot reading, looking up the definitions and knowing how to read a map. Also it was said that there was positive CWD close to the southern border of 103-50. We need more hunters in the woods to help contain it. I believe 103-50 took away oportunities and limited the mule deer hunting up here,a slippery slope to go down. I believe if their intentions were true and they pushed so hard up here about population and saving mule deer that they would like a larger area, and the oportunity hunters just want to have oportunity so I support removing 103-50, combining 102 and 103, combining 109 and 101 creating the larger 101with the hybrid season. I think this gives oportunity to both groups without taking away a large oportunity from the local area hunters and would simplify the areas and mule deer hunting up here.

---

# #65

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 07, 2022 11:07:13 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 07, 2022 11:28:57 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:21:43  
**IP Address:** 162.217.228.201

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** David Mocko  
**City/Town:** Eureka  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** dmocko@interbel.net

---

## Q2

**HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I am saddened by the decline in deer, elk, and moose numbers, since the wolf was protected introduced or whatever you like to call it. we hunt elk in 140 and have seen a rapid decline in elk, moose and mule deer. We have hunted there since the 1960's, The lack of logging to provide fresh feed and areas to move around. Now the timbered areas are so thick with blow down timber the animals can't use these areas, And with the wolves involved now the animals can't survive in the winter, they are killed in the deep snow by the wolves. Hundreds of elk came out of district 140 in the 60's and 70's now i would say 10 would be pushing it. Very sad it was allowed to come to this. Thanks

---

# #66

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 07, 2022 11:53:25 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 07, 2022 11:55:04 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:01:38  
**IP Address:** 66.135.70.249

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Marcus  
**City/Town:** Fausett  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** marcusfausett@hotmail.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

HD 101,  
HD 103,  
HD 110,  
HD 130,  
HD 150,  
HD 200

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Need to harvest more does. Buck doe ratio is bad

---

# #67

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 07, 2022 6:33:05 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 07, 2022 6:54:17 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:21:12  
**IP Address:** 216.47.58.113

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Jerry C. Shively**  
City/Town: **Thompson Falls**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **flatiron@blackfoot.net**

---

## Q2

**HD 200**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I see no valid reason to combine HD 123 & HD 124 with HD200. Keep hunting districts confined to the regional boundaries like they have been in the past. IF there is a need to combine HD's do it within their present regional boundaries.

.there is absolutely NO reason for any hunter being able to kill 2 elk in any HD that is below the target numbers for elk. I agree that there should be a separate antlerless license, valid in any Region 1 HD, but that should be the only elk license that is valid there. The license could also be used in any other region of the state that has an open either sex elk season, but only for an antlerless elk.

I fully support having an either sex whitetail hunt the first week of general hunting season in HD 121,& HD123.

---

#68

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 07, 2022 8:49:49 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 07, 2022 9:00:53 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:11:03  
**IP Address:** 69.145.41.30

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Trent Burton**  
City/Town: **Kalispell**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **trentmburton21@gmail.com**

---

**Q2**

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

**Q3**

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Can we implement 4 point or better restriction on mule deer bucks in northwest Montana? Seems like the herds are struggling as it is and there is not much for mature bucks. Maybe a season restriction for all mule deer? Seems like the new regulations try to address it for unit 101 but not all of northwest Montana.

---

# #69

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Saturday, January 08, 2022 2:06:11 PM  
**Last Modified:** Saturday, January 08, 2022 2:11:28 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:05:16  
**IP Address:** 174.247.149.161

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Kyle Weaver  
**City/Town:** Kalispell  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** kylesweaver@gmail.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

HD 101,  
HD 103,  
HD 110,  
HD 130,  
HD 150,  
HD 200

---

### Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Me: "What would be the implications, both biological and social, of extending antlerless white-tailed deer opportunity to match that of the general season?"

FWP: "Thank you for the question. White-tailed deer populations in Northwestern Montana are largely dictated by weather and it's effects on fawn survival and recruitment into the population the next spring. Adult female mortality can also play an important role in determining if a population is increasing, decreasing or staying relatively stable. From a biological perspective if we have a population that is on the lower end of the spectrum, heavy antlerless harvest could slow recovery somewhat. However, whitetails are prolific and can rebound even with relatively high hunting pressure given the right environmental conditions.

Over the years, we have had various regulations ranging from buck-only to either-sex general seasons. The changes have largely been in response to hunter concerns that we were harvesting too many does and keeping the population from recovering when it is low and more tolerance for female harvest when it is high. Constantly changing the season has also led to some confusion by sportsmen as one year you can harvest a doe on a general license and the next you can't.

In many of our Region 1 hunting districts the current season is one week of either-sex opportunity at the start of the season followed by one week of either-sex opportunity on private property - excluding timber company lands at the end of the season with buck only harvest in the middle. This season is an attempt to come up with a more standard season that we can maintain regardless of the deer population status. We can manage the deer population by increasing or decreasing whitetail B-licenses depending on deer numbers. The early antlerless opportunity also had sportsmen support as some viewed allowing a person who just wants the meat an opportunity to harvest a doe in the first week reduces the buck harvest. The either-sex opportunity on private land at the end of the general season was set up to help landowners who have a lot of deer on their property increase harvest and reduce game damage. We excluded timber company lands as they have typically been open to unlimited public access and hunting and we don't have as much game damage issues.

Unfortunately, with the sale of over 600,000 acres of timber company land that has now been broken up into many different parcels, some still owned by timber companies and some by private individuals, it will be hard to communicate or understand where you can harvest antlerless whitetails during that last week of season. Because of this, we are proposing to remove the last week of antlerless whitetail opportunity.

HD 122 and HD 130 are good examples of how public pressure during low deer numbers can influence our season structure. After two harsh/heavy snow years in 2016 and 2017, the populations declined significantly. Sportsmen requested to remove the antlerless opportunity on a general license in these districts to help the populations recover. Biologically, the deer population would have recovered (although it may have taken a little longer) but there wasn't a biological reason to keep harvesting females either. As a result and with support by the Fish and Wildlife Commission, we removed the antlerless whitetail opportunity in these two districts. Both HD 122 and HD 130 are currently buck only seasons for white-tailed deer during the general season."

Me: "Really appreciate your time in answering my question, (name withheld). Lots of info to consider.

I'd agree that the early either-sex opportunity reduces buck harvest to some degree and argue that, more importantly, it's the right thing to do for those hunters focused on meat harvest early in the season before deer become more skittish.

Regarding the last week of general season opening up to either-sex harvest on private land and in light of learning about the proposal to nix the either-sex last week completely; I'd propose changing that regulation to opening up the last week of either-sex to all hunters

## Region 1 - 2022-23 deer, elk season setting changes

in all NW HDs (obviously excluding special circumstances where reduced harvest is beneficial in helping weather impacted populations recover).

Here are my reasonings for the proposed change:

- Recent timberland sale reduces hunter available harvestable land
  - Recent timberland sale and subsequent land breakup creates confusion
  - Maintain landowner benefits of further reducing deer damage
  - Standardizes the either-sex season eliminating confusion
  - Provides hunters an increased opportunity to harvest a doe if unsuccessful in harvesting a buck the first four weeks of the season"
-

# #70

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, January 09, 2022 7:07:49 AM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, January 09, 2022 7:41:54 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:34:04  
**IP Address:** 66.109.157.126

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	<b>Rory Cook</b>
City/Town:	<b>Thompson Falls</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>
Email Address:	<b>rdcbow7@aol.com</b>

---

## Q2

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I do not believe we have the number of Whitetail deer to justify opening an either sex season the first week of the General rifle season. At least not on property open to all public hunting. While some of the areas near towns, private properties and unfortunately the Highway 200 corridor appear to have good deer numbers that's not the case when you get further back in the mountains where people like to hunt. Deer numbers are way down in all these areas with the possible exception of the Thompson River Drainage. However I believe the numbers here are considerably lower than past years also.

---

# #71

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, January 09, 2022 7:50:36 AM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, January 09, 2022 8:13:04 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:22:27  
**IP Address:** 66.109.157.126

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Rory Cook**  
City/Town: **Thompson Falls**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **rdcbow7@aol.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 200**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I have concerns about combining H.D. 123,124 and 200 together. Unit 200 as it sits now does not receive near the pressure from the outfitter business as 123 and 124 do. If this is going to combine them making one unit and open up more opportunity for the outfitters in this area I am dead set against it. One of the biggest complaints I hear from the local average Joe hunter is the number of outfitters and their guides putting excessive hunting pressure on our seemingly declining elk and deer herds here in Region One. Especially in the Sanders County area.

---

#72

COMPLETE

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, January 09, 2022 9:23:11 AM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, January 09, 2022 9:29:20 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:08  
**IP Address:** 107.191.174.142

---

Page 1

### Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Nathan Stephens  
**City/Town:** Troy  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** nathanstephens@live.com

---

### Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

### Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I do not support changing the antlerless elk permits to elk-B licenses in any hunting district in Region 1. FWP's job is to manage wildlife not make it easier for people to understand the language of the regulations. The proposed change is not about wildlife management nor is it sounds management in a region where elk numbers are already struggling to meet objectives.

---

# #73

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, January 09, 2022 9:33:12 AM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, January 09, 2022 9:34:06 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:00:53  
**IP Address:** 107.191.174.142

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	<b>Nathan Stephens</b>
City/Town:	<b>Troy</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>
Email Address:	<b>nathanstephens@live.com</b>

---

## Q2

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I do not support hunting district boundary changes in Region 1 period.

---

# #74

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, January 09, 2022 11:18:33 AM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, January 09, 2022 11:30:41 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:12:07  
**IP Address:** 50.52.16.161

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Darcy sansaver**  
City/Town: **LIBBY**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **darcysansaver@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

CWD tags are wipping out the deer. Game check not open all the time ,out of state tags for cwd stupid, out of area kills with cwd tags not checked. Need to be done with that tag before there is no deer in the area left.

---

# #75

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, January 09, 2022 12:21:33 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, January 09, 2022 12:46:51 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:25:18  
**IP Address:** 174.246.199.41

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Denver**  
City/Town: **Troy**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **dm800rmk@hotmail.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I'm against giving people who are allowed to hunt from a vehicle a cow elk B tag. There will be too many people who abuse this system, and they will cause our already diminished elk herds in R1 to decrease even more.

---

#76

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, January 09, 2022 5:41:43 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, January 09, 2022 5:43:37 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:01:53  
**IP Address:** 170.203.81.79

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Aaron Mi ney  
**City/Town:** Boulder  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** minney98512@gmail.com

---

**Q2**

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

**Q3**

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I support the changes being made to elk in in Region 1

---

#77

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, January 09, 2022 9:29:25 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, January 09, 2022 9:41:37 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:12:11  
**IP Address:** 65.19.236.227

---

Page 1

### Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **patrick roth**  
City/Town: **rexford**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **logginfool72@gmail.com**

---

### Q2

**HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

### Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

each time there is a change in hd 101 and former hd 109 hunters have less antlerless elk oppertunities and less mule deer hunting days with our general license which means less oppertunity for the average hunter. I do not approve.

---

#78

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 10, 2022 7:29:22 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 10, 2022 7:31:15 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:01:52  
**IP Address:** 69.146.24.27

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Aaron J Puryer  
**City/Town:** Kalispell  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** a\_puryer@hotmail.com

---

**Q2**

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

**Q3**

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

There should be a way the transfer elk permit bonus points to elk b bonus points for those that were applying for the 103 elk permit.

---

# #79

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 10, 2022 8:50:46 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 10, 2022 9:12:30 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:21:44  
**IP Address:** 50.37.139.105

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Steven R Magone  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** stevemagone@gmail.com

---

## Q2

**HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Hello, I recently attended the season setting meeting in Libby. I was surprised to learn that there will not be any cow elk hunting allowed in the southern portion of hunting district 101. The district boundary starts at Cripple horse creek around mm 23 on Hwy 37 near Libby. It runs along the east shore of Koocanusa reservoir to Eureka. Until a few years ago we could apply for cow permits in this area. Then it was changed to no cow permits except for the five handicapped permits. We see cow elk frequently in this area when out hunting. At the meeting, Eureka wildlife biologist Ethan Lula said there are 50 cow permits for area 101 but they are all in the northern portion of 101 and only on private land. He added there was no data to justify hunting in the southern portion. This action is preventing hunting on hundreds of square miles of USFS public land. Please allow cow permits or B tags in the southern portion of 101. Thanks, Steve Magone

---

# #80

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 10, 2022 9:16:40 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 10, 2022 9:32:15 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:15:35  
**IP Address:** 50.37.139.105

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Steven R Magone**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **stevemagone@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Hello, I recently attended the season setting meeting in Libby. While I don't have a problem with combining hunting district 101 and 109 I am OPPOSED to having a hybrid system of hunting mule deer in 101. A hunter already needs to draw a special permit to hunt mule in the northern portion of 103 that adjoins 101. Now it is proposed to require a permit to hunt Mule Deer bucks the last two weeks in 101. Are you trying to reduce the harvest or manage for larger bucks or what exactly? No information was given why this change is necessary. You don't have to change the whole 101 season to match 109's unless there is a biological reason. It appears that this proposal will reduce hunting opportunity for the general public. Thanks, Steve Magone

---

# #81

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 10, 2022 9:34:31 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 10, 2022 9:55:06 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:20:34  
**IP Address:** 174.45.123.126

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Zack Boughton**  
City/Town: **Bozeman**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **zackboughton@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I am in full support of restricting the season dates for mule deer in region 1. These areas are exceptional in character and deserve to be hunted more. Currently I feel the hunter experience for non-locals is terrible. I have spent 20+ days in this area between scouting and hunting over the last two years and haven't seen a buck over 170" although I know that some are killed each year. The age class and populations are suffering and we also need to explore habitat projects to help bolster this herd.

---

#82

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 10, 2022 10:25:21 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 10, 2022 10:28:09 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:48  
**IP Address:** 170.203.69.131

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Gerald R McGuire**  
City/Town: **Thompson Falls**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **mangeymoose@yahoo.com**

---

**Q2**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

**Q3**

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

No need to combine districts

---

# #83

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 10, 2022 10:52:15 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 10, 2022 11:10:07 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:17:52  
**IP Address:** 72.250.179.219

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Justin McNeil  
**City/Town:** Trego  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** justinmcneil680@gmail.com

---

## Q2

**HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Unit 101 unlike 103 is not a trophy /stronghold mule deer area, restricting hunting opportunities in this district will not benefit the young hunter who are willing and able to hike to the small and remote areas that the mule deer inhabit in 101, having 103 for a stronghold of the mulies make sense because of the habitat. But allowing hunting throughout the season allows hunters the option to still try for a late season mule deer on a spur of the moment or as a season long desire to work hard for a chance to catch a opportunity to harvest a deer that has been hiding in a little hole all season.

---

# #84

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 10, 2022 10:19:13 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 10, 2022 12:05:17 PM  
**Time Spent:** 01:46:03  
**IP Address:** 50.46.14.106

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Frank Crimmins  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** fkcrim@gmail.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: (optional) **HD 101,**  
**HD 103**

---

### Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Regarding the proposed hunting regulations changes to HD101 and HD103:

I have no issues with combining the districts, appears to be a good idea.

However in HD103 I think that the antlered buck mule deer drawing is a poor idea that does not serve the people of Montana very well. The permit system denies the many the opportunity to enjoy hunting mule deer as opposed the only the few. As explained in the public meeting in Libby, one of the results of changing to hunting mule deer bucks for only the first part of the general season will be to allow for more mature bucks , isn't that also the rationale behind the antlered buck drawing in HD103? I do not think that this redundancy is necessary or a good idea. If the new management is going to grow more mature mule deer bucks then why have the drawing? If it is justified biologically I can support it, but I do not think that it is justified socially as it denies so many the enjoyment of hunting in the area. I have hunted much of this permit area for many years and there are mature mule deer bucks in the area, it just takes an effort to find them. The trophies are already there and have been all along. I feel that the majority of hunters should not be denied the opportunity to hunt because a few are not willing to put in the effort to find a trophy.

Regarding the CWD management area around Libby: I think that the proposed extra B license for the CWD area is the appropriate way to proceed. As I understand it, the extra B license to hunt deer within the CWD area was not done to provide hunting opportunities for the public, but to reduce the deer density in the area to slow the spread of CWD. The hunting opportunity is just a byproduct of using the public to accomplish this goal. So it follows that the more hunters that get CWD B licenses the more quickly the goal will be reached and the spread of CWD will be slowed. Any effort to limit the number of hunters would be counter to this effort. At the public meeting in Libby some of the audience was greatly over concerned about out of state hunters coming in and "taking our deer". During the last few hunting seasons my friends and I have not seen this great influx of out of staters hunting. I suspect that many of the out state permits were sold to outstate family members or friends of people that live here. It was suggested that maybe a limit be put on the number of permits and then go to a drawing system. That would be more work and effort for everybody and would not accomplish the goal of reducing the deer density any better than the current system. It will also upset a lot of locals when they are not drawn and denied a permit that they previously have had no problem in getting. Leaving the B license system as proposed I think is the best solution.

---

# #85

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 10, 2022 1:07:56 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 10, 2022 1:10:50 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:54  
**IP Address:** 140.194.140.21

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Josh Baltz  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** jnbaltz@msn.com

---

## Q2

**HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I greatly support the mule deer proposal for HD101 to adopt that of HD109. Permit-only for the last two weeks of rifle season will help build an older age class of mule deer bucks and greatly improve hunter satisfaction in this new HD101.

---

# #86

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 10, 2022 3:29:27 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 10, 2022 4:11:39 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:42:12  
**IP Address:** 161.7.39.7

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Jared Lampton**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **jared\_lampton79@yahoo.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I am against the proposed combining of hunting districts (particularly 101-109 and 103-102) without biological justification and solely to simplify regulations. I think it's an unnecessary change that will only make things more confusing at first and not simplify anything in the end.

I am against proposed changes to mule deer regulations in 101 that would create another 3-2 week season with limited permits for the last two weeks of the season just like has been in effect in 109. The reason I'm against it is that there is no biological justification for the change and it hasn't been brought forward by sportsman that they want a more limited season for mule deer. I don't think we should be managing our wildlife from the director's office. It should be managed by the biologists and the sportsmen. Let's avoid changing things just to change things. If there was evidence that the mule deer season structure in 109 was increasing the proportion of mature bucks in the district then I might be in favor of trying it in other districts but I don't think the data exists to make that argument.

I am also against changing antlerless elk permits to antlerless b tags. Again this change doesn't simplify anything. We have changed it back and forth in region 1 three times now! Changing things for no reason just increases confusion.

---

# #87

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, January 11, 2022 8:48:19 AM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, January 11, 2022 9:48:51 AM  
**Time Spent:** 01:00:31  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Scott Hanson**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **scottehanson@protonmail.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

### Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I generally support the combination of HDs that historically have had similar management for deer and elk. I do not support the combination of 101 and 109, while the elk management may be similar the mule deer management is not and it is nothing more than another attempt to limit opportunity to hunters by a loud minority, one that has the ear of Neil Anderson and former Commissioner Aldrich. The 2011 survey by FWP is the data that FWP has in regards to whether hunters desire opportunity over a trophy and FWP needs to heavily weigh that survey instead of simply using a 9-8 majority in favor of restricting opportunity. 17 engaged people should not determine the opportunity for hundred or thousands of hunters.

I support the combination of 102 and 103, but I do not support the preservation of 103-50. 103-50 was not formed for any biological reason. Many of the supporters of 103-50 did not hunt the area or were elk hunters that wanted to kick the mule deer hunters out because they would harvest elk as a target of opportunity and many were fooled into believing it would "save" the mule deer. It was frustrating to watch the process unfold and Mr Aldrich ignore reports of supporters of 103-50 collecting signatures in support of the permit under false pretenses, and general deceptive practices by the group that proposed the area, and their unwillingness to debate the data in a public forum in which they were unable to control the narrative, and even newspaper articles that implied that FWP was in support of 103-50 for biological reasons. I have continued to hunt HD 103 for the past 4 years and have harvested a buck every year, and in the past 3 years our family has harvested 3 bucks over 7.5 years old and one 5.5 year old, all outside of the trophy area. Some hunters have indicated that that have seen more mature bucks in neighboring HDs since the formation of 103-50 and my experience is similar, but I generally didn't hunt outside of the north Fisher prior to 103-50 so I was forced to find new hunting grounds, and saw no shortage of mature bucks in the area prior to 4 years ago. I have watched hunters quit hunting in the past 4 years specifically because of 103-50, most of those that have quit hunting hunted the north Fisher because it was an area that they were physically able to hunt mule deer in and now feel like harvesting a mule deer is beyond their physical abilities and only an opportunity for those that are lucky enough to draw the 103-50 permit or young able bodied hunters. I have also witnessed an incredible amount of confusion as to the area of 103-50, with some people believing that the north Fisher area encompasses almost all of HD 103. A young man I know was hunting 3 years ago outside of 103-50 and had a shot opportunity at a nice 4x4 mule deer, but was told he could not shoot by the adult that was hunting with him it because he didn't have a permit, he was not in the permit area. There are also hunters that have entirely quit hunting because they believe a permit is required to harvest mule deer anywhere near Libby now. When people talk to me about hunting mule deer, often they tell me I should go hunt up this creek or up on that mountain because there are lots of mule deer in there, but without fail the mountain or creek they mention is inside the 103-50 permit area and do not believe me when I tell them that they need a permit to hunt mule deer in that area now.

I don't understand how or why there is confusion about the location of 103-50, but it exists. And if the commission is actually trying to simplify the regulations 103-50 needs to be on the chopping block and not cater to a loud minority. Mr Aldrich had no objections to catering to minority groups or flipping on a proposal after being "wined and dined" in private the night before a commission meeting.

FWP also needs to look at the efficacy of the CAC, it seems to me like the citizen members of the CAC are only interested in pushing their agenda and informing people about happenings in FWP and public comment opportunities that will support their position. And in general FWP needs to have a better outreach to the public when there is a proposed "social" change to the regulations, because currently it seems like the current efforts by FWP and the CAC only target the loud minority and FWP knows this. There are a great deal of hunters statewide that believe that their opinion and public comment is worthless because the commission will do what it wants, or more specifically cater to special interest groups and is part of the corrupt system.

---

#88

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, January 11, 2022 9:43:47 AM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, January 11, 2022 9:49:08 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:05:21  
**IP Address:** 72.250.178.140

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Jeremy McGuire**  
City/Town: **Thompson Falls**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **jmagoo123@yahoo.com**

---

**Q2**

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

**Q3**

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Hunting area 122. You have to be kidding if you think there are enough elk and whitetail deer to have B tags you are joking I hope or the biologists are blind. I have hunted in this area for 33 years and the population is so low that you get excited if you see one deer and rarely see elk. I'm pretty sure this is about money if you have B tags for this area. The cat and wolf populations are up and game is down maybe open up more hunting on the predators and it would make sense. If this is your proposal it's a joke at best

---

#89

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, January 11, 2022 10:40:19 AM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, January 11, 2022 10:50:29 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:10:09  
**IP Address:** 162.244.172.109

---

Page 1

### Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Jason**  
City/Town: **Eureka**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **fishusfws@yahoo.com**

---

### Q2

**HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

### Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

-I am Not in favor of combining districts 101 and 109. It does not simplify anything. There is already a national highway bisecting the districts.

-I am in favor of transferring the R1 elk permit to antlerless elk B licenses.

-I am NOT in favor of the proposed mule deer buck change in 101/109 to a 3-week restricted antlered buck with a 2-week limited draw. Keep 109 mule deer buck the way it already is. Leave the 101 mule deer buck as it is (open all general season. Loss of hunting public hunting opportunity. No biological justification.

-I am NOT in favor of allowing air rifles for the hunting of turkeys or upland birds at any time. Unethical due to the less sound created by air rifles.

-I am NOT in favor of extending the upland game season to the end of January.

---

# #90

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, January 11, 2022 3:03:57 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, January 11, 2022 3:08:07 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:09  
**IP Address:** 70.33.49.215

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Scott Gibbs**  
City/Town: **Plentywood**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **scottgibbs959@yahoo.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110,**  
**HD 130,**  
**HD 150,**  
**HD 200**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

We should be more aggressive on the wolf defense of killing them. I gave region 6 biologist an excellent book on wolf habits that are very eye opening.

---

# #91

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, January 11, 2022 6:45:43 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, January 11, 2022 6:56:07 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:10:23  
**IP Address:** 66.135.76.202

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Jane Benson**  
City/Town: **Wear Glacier**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **wjbenson55@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 110**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Against changes. The reason we don't have the mule deer or elk. Is so to all the predators. Once you start making changes to our hunting rights. It only gets worse Leave it the way it is

---

# #92

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, January 12, 2022 7:42:42 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, January 12, 2022 7:42:58 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:00:15  
**IP Address:** 198.162.78.5

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Jess Wagner**  
City/Town: **Lewistown**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **jess\_wagner2@hotmail.com**

---

## Q2

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I support going to a 3 week general season for antlered mule deer, I think this is a step in the right direction and I wish we could implement it state wide.

---

# #93

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 13, 2022 4:56:36 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 13, 2022 5:07:33 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:10:56  
**IP Address:** 67.143.192.144

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Hal Bauer**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **hbauer218@yahoo.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Extending the season for muzzleloaders is a poor idea! Elk are headed to their winter range. Wolves are killing them all year long! Hunters are used to high power rifles. The elk numbers are steadily declining in this area. PLEASE do not extend the season anymore! Thanks for your time " HAL"

---

# #94

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 13, 2022 10:17:13 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 13, 2022 10:20:33 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:03:20  
**IP Address:** 172.58.47.42

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Garrett McNeil**  
City/Town: **Trego**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **garrettmcneil16@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I don't believe that region 101 and 109 need to combine and I don't believe that 101 needs to be closed for the last few weeks of the season for mule deer like 109 is. There are much more mule deer in that area than people think. When you get out and hunt those areas you run into a lot of mule deer.

---

# #95

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 13, 2022 3:34:14 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 13, 2022 3:37:28 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:03:14  
**IP Address:** 69.146.25.17

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Pat Van Eimeren**  
City/Town: **Whitefish**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **pvaneimeren@hotmail.com**

---

## Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I believe that going to cow B tags make sense to simplify the regulations. It will be interesting to see how many hunters will harvest an extra elk in R1 recognizing that our elk numbers are low. I concur with the other elk regs. and district combining. Regulations seem to make sense and a good rational was provided.

---

# #96

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, January 16, 2022 6:34:30 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, January 16, 2022 6:53:17 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:18:46  
**IP Address:** 198.181.18.24

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Ryan Weltz  
**City/Town:** Noxon  
**State/Province:** MT

---

**Q2** Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I am apposed to allowing an individual to shoot two elk with the proposed B tag. I don't think anybody needs two elk. And there are many hunters who will shoot two if given the opportunity not because they need the meat but because they can. Allowing the hunter to only harvest a cow can keep a little pressure off our dwindling bull numbers and dwindling elk numbers in general. A lot of the elk that are harvested in 121, where I hunt, are being shot on private ground. So, it would be pretty easy for a hunter two shoot two elk out of a herd that resides on private and does not leave. I would like to see us doing away with the cow tags because of our lack of elk numbers.

I would also like to see us do away with doe tags and Mule deer hunting should go to strictly a DRAW.

I've hunted in 121 for over 30 years and have harvested over 20 elk, mostly bulls. The last two years I've not seen a bull elk in the woods wile rifle hunting. That is not typical for me as I put on many, many miles. I've seen very few cows and some days do not even see tracks in day old snow.

---

# #97

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Sunday, January 16, 2022 11:31:46 PM  
**Last Modified:** Sunday, January 16, 2022 11:47:15 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:15:29  
**IP Address:** 71.36.53.176

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **RODDY L HILL**  
City/Town: **COLUMBIA FALLS**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **BILLIEJOHILL@HANSONTRUCKING.COM**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110,**  
**HD 130,**  
**HD 150,**  
**HD 200**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

It's time to stop removing hunter opportunity and start removing wolves!!!! If you would allow the hunters and trappers do their jobs (who's idea was it to postpone trapping season until every grizzly in Montana was hibernating, oh that's right the people running FWP. Thanks for the missed opportunity) maybe we would not need to take hunter opportunity away from the people paying the bills.  
P.S. Grizzlies never really hibernate

---

# #98

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 17, 2022 9:33:53 AM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 17, 2022 9:46:28 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:12:35  
**IP Address:** 107.191.169.26

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Jacob Jeresek**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **jacobjeresek@hotmail.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110,**  
**HD 130,**  
**HD 150,**  
**HD 200**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Committee,

I support all proposed hunting district boundary changes, moving from elk B permits to licenses, allowing hunting of antlered elk in the same hunting district as a successful elk B license drawing, permits to hunt from a vehicle, all white-tailed deer proposals, and all individual hunting district proposals.

I however would like to see additional white-tailed deer antlerless B permits with the removal of the last week opportunity on private lands. For many hunters, the last week of the season is about filling their freezers to feed families. Without the opportunity to harvest antlerless deer, this puts intense pressure on antlered deer especially young bucks. Since most hunters are trying to provide meat, most hunters agree they would prefer to harvest an antlerless animal versus an antlered animal to help the buck to doe ratio in following years and increase the opportunity for larger bucks next year.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

---

# #99

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 17, 2022 1:15:22 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 17, 2022 1:22:15 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:52  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Anonymous**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

“There are a lot of factors that affect wildlife and survival, and hunting is just one of those,” said Neil Anderson, Fish, Wildlife and Park’s Wildlife Program Manager. “I think it’s reasonable to expect that if you reduce hunting by a bit, you would see more bucks on the landscape, and increase the buck-doe ratio, but we’re not yet sure.” A quote from Neil Anderson back in 2017/2018 talking about 103-50. How ever he is seen in the zoom meeting video talking about restrictions and how they will not change the population of the mule deer. Which one is it? why does everything have to be so confusing even Niel Anderson takes his words back or only uses them to "fit the bill" HD 103-50 was created to kick mule deer hunters out of a great area and now they want to kick more hunters out of HD 101. George Mercer told someone during his first meeting that he wanted more restrictions, well I guess all of us majority hunters don't get a say or no one counts us. Merge HD 103 and HD 102 , remove 103-50, and leave HD 101 and 109 separate they are not the same and at the local meeting it was said that the number of tags for the new HD 101 would be limited because of fear of over harvesting the mule deer in 109. Simply the mule deer hunting and fix it! No more mule deer limitations! Don't help the big names in town reduce mule deer hunting opportunity by kicking us out of the woods when all we want to do is find a spot too hunt and hunt it, we don't want to find a new spot just to be kicked out AGAIN!!!!

---

#100

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 17, 2022 1:35:04 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 17, 2022 1:47:28 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:12:23  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** **Anonymous**  
**City/Town:** **Libby**  
**State/Province:** **MT**

---

**Q2**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
 (optional) **HD 103**

---

**Q3**

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I was originally in support of HD 103-50 but after it got implemented I Found out that Dorian was against it. Her family is a great representation of what hunters should be. I spoke with her about a lot of the hunting opportunities in the area and she spoke highly of her son. She showed me the entire graph that I did not see before, I only saw a part of the graph that was used to promote the area. I knew of her petition against it, what I didn't know is that everyone that signed that petition I think it was a total around 332 but I could be wrong on that represented locals that wanted to hide their identities from back lash they did not want to publicly be known for going against the area. I have talked to others in the area as well and I believe if you looked at the online polls she did - yes I saw them. She is pretty good at reaching out to the public which sadly the initial 35 supporters of 103-50 did not during the year or years it took them to put it together. I guess if you count those numbers and add it all up the majority really was against 103-50, and know I am to. I know a youth hunter that supported it because he thought it would give him opportunity to get a big one, So he went into the area the first year it was started to look for elk, he figured without the mule deer hunters there would be better elk oppurtunity. Instead of finding elk he saw the biggest mule deer he ever saw, and he saw more than one. He lost the opportunity to get a great first mule deer because he never hunted the area before and didn't know the big deer are out there all because he thought it would give opportunity but instead it took it away. I know he no longer supports 103-50 and neither do I. I do support HD 102 and HD 103 becoming the new HD. I do not support the proposed new HD 101 because it will reduce opportunity for the local hunters. I support HD 101 and HD 109 staying the way they are. I do not hunt the area for mule deer, and I regret supporting 103-50. The locals should have opportunity to hunt mule deer in the area with ease and to help keep the future interested in hunting mule deer for whatever reason they like. I am so tired of the mule deer shamming that goes on. Just go hunt and enjoy yourself don't worry about the other hunters there is enough land around here if you want to hunt a trophy then go into any HD and do that if your not willing to eat tag soup then get one that makes you happy.

---

# #101

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 17, 2022 1:50:51 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 17, 2022 2:08:49 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:17:58  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Dorian D Boling**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **dogsmontana@yahoo.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I put out 4 polls online with Facebook groups, local page and Montana hunting pages here are the results. results of online poll 1 : I opportunity hunt but will take a trophy if presented the chance 29 votes: I don't support trophy hunting 7 votes: I support opportunity hunting 5 votes: none support trophy hunting  
results online poll 2: I support hunting to feed my family 12 votes: I support opportunity hunting 6 votes: I support trophy hunting 3 votes: I support neither 1 vote.  
results online poll 3: I will take a trophy given the option, but I prefer to opportunity hunting 302 votes: I support genral over the counter tag trophy hunting. No permits, opportunity for all 50 votes: I support troghy hunting 50 votes: I support opportunity hunting 43 votes: I support age structure management 36 votes: I support having 75% of the season being hunted by people that live in the county 32 votes: I trophy hunt and take a cow at the end of the season: 32 votes, Opportunity hunting with multiple trophy hunting designated areas 11 votes: I support hunting whenever you want 10 votes: trophy hunt general season, meat hunt shoulder season 5 votes.  
results from poll 4: I support opportunity hunting over trophy hunting 41 votes: I support combining HD 102 and 103 removing HD 103-50 7 votes: survival only 3 votes: none for trophy hunting.  
so if you class the above numbers in support of opportunity hunting and those that support trophy areas (I left out some that I am not for sure where they would go) The total numbers represented above respectfully would come out to 532 for opportunity and 100 for trophy hunting. HD 103 is not supported by the majority, and neither is the proposed new HD 101

---

# #102

COMPLETE

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 17, 2022 2:37:59 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 17, 2022 2:59:48 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:21:49  
**IP Address:** 162.217.228.153

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **ROBERT m SLONE**  
City/Town: **EUREKA**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **rmtslone@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Boundary changes ok if biologist approval.

Mule deer to 3 weeks no: I am tired of so much discrimination on hunter preference for a few.

Whitetails.. in so much of 100, 101.109 FWP has few male animals at the time for breeding. Your program is a failure.

Our problem in region one is lack of park openings, food/ browse, nesting cover, and over grown avalanche chutes.. Absent are, cottonwoods poplars, Aspen, and other deciduous forest mast. River bottom over grown thick in one species of willows. The urban interface program of selective cutting by forest service is a failure for birds and small mammals. tops of mountains are covered mature forest and in the bottoms open desolate waste land forest with no cover. Change any rule you want, nothing will change with out a mosaic ,diversified forest.

---

# #103

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 17, 2022 3:01:15 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 17, 2022 3:06:13 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:57  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.81

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Dorian Boling**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**

---

## Q2

**HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I emailed a additional 49 signatures against the combining of HD 101 and 109 creating a hybrids mule deer season for the new HD. I sent the email to fwpwld email but just in case the email gets looked over I have opted to put the information here as well. I put out the signature sheets for those that don't have a computer or did not want to leave public comment online.

---

# #104

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 17, 2022 4:43:03 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 17, 2022 4:47:33 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:30  
**IP Address:** 64.25.135.173

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Tony Bierwagen  
**City/Town:** Thompson Falls  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** lbierwagen@gmail.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110,**  
**HD 130,**  
**HD 150,**  
**HD 200**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

All of Region 1 has poor mule deer populations. I think we should go to at least 4-points on one side. I disagree with the idea of shortening our hunting opportunities for mulies to only 3 weeks. I know that the 4-point for one side has worked in other states and allows for bucks to age. Please do not shortened my hunting opportunities in Montana. Thank you, Tony Bierwagen

---

# #105

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 17, 2022 6:13:20 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 17, 2022 6:24:47 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:11:27  
**IP Address:** 107.191.163.210

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Shawn Ray  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** shawnkray@yahoo.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110,**  
**HD 200**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I do NOT support 103-50 and request it be removed. I would consider supporting point restrictions for the district on mule deer and Neil or the commissioners need to do their own more research as to the pros and cons of point restrictions. Neil keeps mention the states that have point restrictions are wanting to remove those but he has yet to mention which states with large mule deer draws are removing the point restrictions. I have found many articles that indicate a fork(2pt) or better lead to a return in numbers for the older bucks. Commissioners need to consider predators causing the number drop in mule deer. In addition to point restriction there could also be a spread restriction in place but harder to manage. We have a point restriction on Elk why not mule deer? Also do NOT support the shorter season nor any special hunting permit for NW Montana. In addition it is time to lesson or remove the unlimited CWDtag as we have always had it but finally tested for it. Folks are using the CWD tag for the extra large buck WT buck and abusing the system that FG can NOT monitor due to acreage they would have to cover. Also remove the option for harvesting 4" or smaller buck with doe tag. Again should be only for does as many say the does are at higher numbers than the bucks. Finally it is time Montana do as ALL the other states and REQUIRE an online harvesting report BEFORE the next season tag can be purchased. The current system is archaic and an estimate that to is arch

---

# #106

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 17, 2022 6:35:53 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 17, 2022 6:57:31 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:21:37  
**IP Address:** 107.191.163.210

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Shawn Ray  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** shawnkray@yahoo.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

HD 101,  
HD 103,  
HD 110,  
HD 130,  
HD 150,  
HD 200

---

**Q3**

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Continuing my comments. I do NOT support shorter season. I do NOT support continuing the special zone of 103-50 mule deer permit only zone. Instead go to a point restriction for mule deer for whole district. It is time the commissioners research the pros and cons of point restrictions as Neil keeps saying other states that have this are wanting to get rid of it. He has YET to mention which states are want to do so and many articles indicate a good way to increase big game/ mule deer buck is through point restrictions. We have it for elk why not mule deer in our zone? I do NOT support the shorter general season for elk/deer and highly encourage the commissioners to take a hard look at our predators for causing the decrease in mule deer populations. I do NOT support any special trophy hunting zones in this region and request this to be removed. Also request the CWD tag be decreased or discontinued it is been documented the animals are in LIBBY proper. We have always had a small percentage of deer that are not healthy and this process is NOT going to remove the disease. It probably has always been here we just finally tested for it. Also REQUIRE online harvesting reports from hunters before issuing next season permit/tags. ALL other states do it and is proven to be a better system than the estimates and archaic system we have. It is time to use the high dollars we get for the Out of state tags and revenue. I do not support any of the changes other than boundary lines the commissioners have accepted from Neil. He does NOT have a majority of the Lincoln County voices when he speaks on our behalf. Due to him canceling multiple meetings at last minute along with not reaching the residents that do not subscribe to his emails. NOT a good representation of what folks really desire in LIBBY area for hunting opportunities. Also keep the come home to hunt program and lesson the out of state tags release. Our traditions are being lost by high rollers/money and out of state hunters not respecting, know or having hunting etiquette therefore affecting the traditions we are wanting to pass on to our children and grandchildren. I support more predator hunting, point restrictions for mule deer, removing trophy hunting in Libby area 103-50 that is NOT managed properly and keeping the season dates the same.

Thank you.

---

# #107

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 17, 2022 8:11:35 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 17, 2022 8:30:50 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:19:15  
**IP Address:** 216.47.55.225

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Dean Vaughan**  
City/Town: **Charlo**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **delk@blackfoot.net**

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Don't really see the benefit of combining districts. The current district boundaries are adequate from a species management standpoint. However with the proposed changes, don't understand why cow b tags will stay at 25 after combining the two districts? Minimum would suggest 30 available b tags, but seeing as how elk population at lost trail has increased from around 200 five years ago to around 325+ (USFWS observation) in 2021, 25 b tags seems light? Maybe increase to 40-50? Than again if surveyed elk numbers were listed like was done for region 3 districts it would assist with making management decisions rather than shooting from the hip? Would be nice if FWP could be allowed the latitude to manage by species rather than by politics!

---

# #108

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 17, 2022 8:01:13 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 17, 2022 8:52:34 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:51:21  
**IP Address:** 72.160.11.165

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Whitney Shanks  
**City/Town:** Kalispell  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** w.shanks04@gmail.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

HD 101,  
HD 103,  
HD 110,  
HD 130,  
HD 150,  
HD 200

---

**Q3**

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I believe combining areas like 109 and 101 to make 101 is a HUGE mistake. Same with areas like 103 and 102 to make new 103. And doing away with 124 and 123, to make up new 200. If you look at harvest reports the number's are truly different in each district! There's absolutely no justification for this. If anything districts should be smaller like neighbor state of Idaho. So we can truly see where our animals are killed. And shorten both rifle and archery season by a week! Take a week off the front of archery and week off the end of the rifle season. I'm also in favor of no cow's being shot in region one for the next five years for anyone over 15 other than private land. And bulls should be on strictly permit's except in the flathead valley floor or private property. To get our elk herd back! I also believe mule deer should be permit only in all region one. White tail bucks should be a 4pt minimum as well. For all over 15years old not on private ground in region one. One last thing, I know farmers in region one who suffer crop damage and allow hunting. The 170 doe B license should be valid up to 3 per hunter ( private land only) to help these people out. The reason I'm wanting to have such strict regulations is I want my kids to be able to enjoy what I did as a kid. A game rich north west Montana. I'm a 3rd generation born and raised in the valley person. I know what I'm missing. It's not all predator's. Though two black bears a year quata, along with the season going until June 30th would would help elk and deer survival huge. Idaho and Wyoming both concentrate on black bear harvest, long seasons and bait stations, multiple bears per hunter, and hounds ( we have now)

Thank you for taking the time to read this. Please consider my words in your decisions

Whitney Shanks

885-0655

---

# #109

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 17, 2022 8:46:49 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 17, 2022 8:56:06 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:09:16  
**IP Address:** 216.47.55.225

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Dean Vaughan  
**City/Town:** Charlo  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** delk@blackfoot.net

---

## Q2

**HD 150**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Not sure why district 150 is an option to comment on since they're no proposed changes? However, since nothing has changed for elk regulations in this district for 30 years other than eliminating the cow harvest, it would be nice to know what FWP has in mind to increase elk numbers for the district? But I guess since it's mainly wilderness and there're not any large landowners harboring the few elk in the district it's not of much importance?

---

# #110

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 17, 2022 8:56:31 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, January 17, 2022 8:56:35 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:00:03  
**IP Address:** 216.47.55.225

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Dean Vaughan  
**City/Town:** Charlo  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** delk@blackfoot.net

---

## Q2

**HD 150**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Not sure why district 150 is an option to comment on since they're no proposed changes? However, since nothing has changed for elk regulations in this district for 30 years other than eliminating the cow harvest, it would be nice to know what FWP has in mind to increase elk numbers for the district? But I guess since it's mainly wilderness and there're not any large landowners harboring the few elk in the district it's not of much importance?

---

#111

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:56:20 AM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 11:02:20 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:05:59  
**IP Address:** 216.14.250.150

---

Page 1

**Q1**

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Bruce Sterling**  
City/Town: **Thompson Falls**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **bsterling56@gmail.com**

---

**Q2** **Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

**Q3**

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I am opposed to allowing antlerless elk hunting by PTHFV holders in Region One. I think the chance for abuse of this regulation outweighs the benefits.

---

# #112

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 11:02:38 AM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 11:05:25 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:47  
**IP Address:** 216.14.250.150

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	<b>Bruce Sterling</b>
City/Town:	<b>Thompson Falls</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>
Email Address:	<b>bsterling56@gmail.com</b>

---

## Q2

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

B Licenses allow hunters to harvest two elk. I don't like the fact the hunters have an opportunity to harvest two elk in Region One. Antlerless tags should remain as B Permits!

---

# #113

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 11:08:09 AM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 11:10:59 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:49  
**IP Address:** 216.14.250.150

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Bruce Sterling  
**City/Town:** Thompson Falls  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** bsterling56@gmail.com

---

## Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I support the season proposal to allow antlerless whitetail hunting during the first week of the season. Allowing the opportunity to more easily put meat in the freezer, it also will prevent a few smaller bucks from being harvested!

---

# #114

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 4:57:41 PM  
**Last Modified:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 5:08:15 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:10:34  
**IP Address:** 69.145.145.165

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Scott Ball**  
City/Town: **Missoula**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **elkhunter270@hotmail.com**

---

**Q2** **Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I support the proposed boundary changes for this region.

I disagree with removing antlerless elk permits and replacing them with B licenses. This could lead to over harvest by allowing a hunter to harvest multiple elk in one HD.

---

# #115

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:47:48 AM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:53:40 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:05:51  
**IP Address:** 184.166.170.29

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Russell L Bush  
**City/Town:** Kalispell  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** rb451pc@charter.net

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110,**  
**HD 130,**  
**HD 150,**  
**HD 200**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I don't think this biologically sound.

I hunted in Colorado for many years and applied for permits as a non resident. Many years I was not successful. Attempting to make it more successful to non residents sounds to me as political pressured event.

Killing more rag bulls is not a sound way to build a heard of Elk.

A three point or better would be more successful.

Eliminating the either sex white tail first and last month of the season is a disaster.

Respectfully Submitted

Russell L Bush

---

# #116

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 8:54:03 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:00:25 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:06:22  
**IP Address:** 47.45.164.174

---

Page 1

**Q1** Respondent skipped this question

Contact information: (optional)

---

**Q2** Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

**Q3**  
Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I oppose these changes, pending a new Elk Management plan.

---

# #117

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:13:16 PM  
**Last Modified:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:24:44 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:11:27  
**IP Address:** 66.135.74.147

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Travis Tennison**  
City/Town: **Kalispell**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **tennisonexcavation@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

My comment is about the special unit inside of 103 for mule deer. To simplify things for the future and present hunters. That unit for special mule deer tags needs to be a unit by itself. I fear that too many people are hunting it without a special mule deer tag. I Feel like we don't have the resources like Game Warden's watching over that area enough. They have such a huge area to cover besides this one little unit. Thanks for your time, Travis

---

# #118

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 10:09:27 AM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 10:24:47 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:15:20  
**IP Address:** 107.191.163.210

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Rob Delmas  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** robdelmas@hotmail.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: (optional) **HD 101,**  
**HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I really despise the change several years ago to 103.50 regarding the Mule deer along the Fisher River. I have hunted in that area for over 20 years and think its ridiculous that its been made into some sort of trophy hunt. I took my daughter out for the first time this year and she had to pass up two bucks because they were Muleys and it would have been her first shot at a deer. I would much rather see it go to fork, even three point or better. Also, I do not like the B tag in general and now you want to increase the numbers. I was at the Fish and Game last year and a gentleman came in with a buck (tiny horns) and I thought he just decided to tag a spike for meat in the freezer. No, he shot a tiny 2-3 inch spike and tagged it with his B tag. Between that and the CWD tags going out all over the place, I feel it is just a slaughter of the white tail. The deer have to contend with rising amounts of predators as it is and now we're just killing all the remaining with all sorts of tags. And don't think people aren't shooting a small buck outside of the CWD zone, tagging it and then using another tag for something bigger. I just don't understand the justification for all the B and CWD tags.

---

# #119

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 1:01:24 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 1:30:41 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:29:16  
**IP Address:** 65.131.204.12

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Andrew H Weaver**  
City/Town: **Kalispell**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **muley34@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I am glad to see FWP discontinued the harvesting antlerless mule deer in HD 170. I live at the bottom of the Swan Range on Lake Blaine. My family has lived here since the mid 1890's and I can tell you that mule deer in 170 come out of HD 140 to winter. Come springtime,99% of them go back into the mountains of HD140. I think it would be a shame to allow hunting of the does. Thank you. Andrew Weaver

---

# #120

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 3:22:27 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 3:26:59 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:31  
**IP Address:** 71.36.48.181

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	Larry D Rattray
City/Town:	Proctor
State/Province:	MT
Email Address:	rattpak@centurytel.net

---

## Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I do not agree with converting the elk permits to licenses. Not so much because a few people might harvest 2 elk but rather, that the elk population is so low that we should not be harvesting cows anyway. The number of deer and elk have plummeted and we need to reduce the number of predators till the ungulates come back.

---

# #121

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 3:57:48 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 4:10:45 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:12:57  
**IP Address:** 50.37.154.70

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Gerry Mercer**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **mountit@frontier.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

While I have no issue with the combining of areas in region one, I don't agree with issuing 13 Mule Deer buck tags for HD103-50. This is such a small area compared to the new proposed HD101 which is only allowing for 15 tags for the last 2 weeks. I feel that number is too high, and over the last few seasons the tags given out were more than the number printed to be drawn in the regulations! HD103-50 should be back at 5, and no more than 10 in my opinion at any time. This area was just starting to come around (more mature bucks) and now it is going to get shot out again, just defeating the whole purpose of the tag.

---

# #122

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 4:11:04 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 4:13:01 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:01:56  
**IP Address:** 50.37.154.70

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Gerry Mercer**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **mountit@frontier.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

While I have no issue with the combining of areas in region one, I don't agree with issuing 13 Mule Deer buck tags for HD103-50. This is such a small area compared to the new proposed HD101 which is only allowing for 15 tags for the last 2 weeks. I feel that number is too high, and over the last few seasons the tags given out were more than the number printed to be drawn in the regulations! HD103-50 should be back at 5, and no more than 10 in my opinion at any time. This area was just starting to come around (more mature bucks) and now it is going to get shot out again, just defeating the whole purpose of the tag.

---

# #123

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 4:14:35 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 4:16:44 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:08  
**IP Address:** 50.37.154.70

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Gerry Mercer**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **mountit@frontier.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I don't agree with changing the cow permit tags to a B tag in region 1.

---

# #124

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 4:43:11 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:11:28 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:28:17  
**IP Address:** 71.36.54.40

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **James Dutton**  
City/Town: **Whitefish**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **jamesdutton95@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110,**  
**HD 130,**  
**HD 150**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I do not like the proposed changes for region 1. I do not like the changes because I believe this WILL NOT benefit mule deer in region 1. You are going to have the same issue. Lazy hunters are going to shot the FIRST antlered mule deer buck they see in the first 3 weeks when they get an opportunity. I like the idea of the north fisher district and how it's limited draw. What about the people who have put in for that tag? I would like to see more tags to be given out for that draw as well. If you don't have the man power to have wardens in the district maybe sell some Grizzley tags for region 1. Have 1 tag that's an auction tag that starts at \$65k and do 1 tag that's draw for residents only and you have to pay \$15 dollars to put in for it and weather you get the tag or not it's not refundable. That's a realistic goal to set for the near future (less than 3 years). I've talked to dozens of hunters about what would be better and we think a 4 point restriction would benefit region 1 mule deer. Yes some bucks may never get over a 4 point. Well do a draw for for bucks that are less than 4 point. That way even meat hunters can put in and you would get more money than just the general tag that's otc for residents. There is a lot of better options than the proposal and a lot of hunters haven't even a clue about this. Think it's time to start making calls to each hunter day by day the voices will be heard.

---

# #125

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:12:25 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:14:59 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:34  
**IP Address:** 71.36.54.40

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Ellie Brustkern**  
City/Town: **Whitefish**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **elliebrustkern@outlook.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110,**  
**HD 130,**  
**HD 150**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I do not like the proposed changes for region 1. I do not like the changes because I believe this WILL NOT benefit mule deer in region 1. You are going to have the same issue. Lazy hunters are going to shot the FIRST antlered mule deer buck they see in the first 3 weeks when they get an opportunity. I like the idea of the north fisher district and how it's limited draw. What about the people who have put in for that tag? I would like to see more tags to be given out for that draw as well. If you don't have the man power to have wardens in the district maybe sell some Grizzley tags for region 1. Have 1 tag that's an auction tag that starts at \$65k and do 1 tag that's draw for residents only and you have to pay \$15 dollars to put in for it and weather you get the tag or not it's not refundable. That's a realistic goal to set for the near future (less than 3 years). I've talked to dozens of hunters about what would be better and we think a 4 point restriction would benefit region 1 mule deer. Yes some bucks may never get over a 4 point. Well do a draw for for bucks that are less than 4 point. That way even meat hunters can put in and you would get more money than just the general tag that's otc for residents.

---

# #126

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:14:34 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:17:45 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:03:10  
**IP Address:** 65.19.236.28

---

Page 1

**Q1** **Respondent skipped this question**

Contact information: (optional)

---

**Q2** **HD 101**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

**Q3**  
Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

To get people to harvest does instead of bucks why can't we get a 4 point rule on white tails. The. People might shoot a doe instead of a spike or forked horn buck

---

# #127

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:20:15 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 5:23:02 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:46  
**IP Address:** 184.166.179.106

---

Page 1

**Q1** Respondent skipped this question

Contact information: (optional)

---

**Q2** HD 101,

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: HD 103  
(optional)

---

**Q3**  
Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Do not make the region one draw only for mule deer the last two weeks of general season

---

# #128

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 6:55:15 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 6:57:51 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:36  
**IP Address:** 107.77.197.132

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Eric Peterson  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** etkpeterson@hotmail.com

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I agree that the 103-50 mule deer permit should stay. And the additional over the counter cwd tags need to stop. The deer population has drastically reduced

---

# #129

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 6:54:20 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 6:59:52 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:05:32  
**IP Address:** 98.97.104.139

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** George Mercer  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** muledeernuts@hotmail.com

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I would like to see 103-50 continue into the future. While this permit area is not slated to change I want to show my support for keeping it. I also want to ask that the Libby area Biologist and region 1 biologist to please stop the CWD unlimited tags for Libby. We were promised when CWD was found that the deer would not be over harvested and that is exactly what has happened. We were informed that 2,800 tags were sold with no ability to know how many deer were harvested with those tags. It's just too many. I believe the department needs to take a step back and re evaluate the CWD hunt and greatly lower the tags, it's not appropriate to issue that number.

---

# #130

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 6:57:33 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 7:00:16 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:43  
**IP Address:** 50.52.18.101

---

Page 1

**Q1** Respondent skipped this question

Contact information: (optional)

---

**Q2** HD 101,

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: HD 103  
(optional)

---

**Q3**  
Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I support 103-50 special deer. And also I think that the cwd tags need rethinking To many deer killed with not many positives

---

# #131

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 7:40:22 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 7:41:35 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:01:12  
**IP Address:** 47.37.37.196

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	<b>Eric Anderson</b>
City/Town:	<b>libby</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>
Email Address:	<b>bigair901@icloud.com</b>

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: (optional)	<b>HD 101,</b>
	<b>HD 103,</b>
	<b>HD 110,</b>
	<b>HD 130,</b>
	<b>HD 150,</b>
	<b>HD 200</b>

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Leave everything alone please

---

# #132

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 7:35:39 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 7:43:50 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:08:11  
**IP Address:** 50.46.102.84

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Kelly**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **kelly.ray@ziply.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

This proposal is garbage. We hunt here to put food on our plates. What is proposed is more of a trophy hunt. If your worried about the deer population then restrict out of state hunters!!!

---

# #133

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 7:54:29 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 8:15:26 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:20:56  
**IP Address:** 50.46.6.33

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Lance Hamel**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **elktok@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

There is no reason to have elk B licenses in region 1. We simply don't have enough elk to be having some people harvest 2 elk each. Do not need to combine 101 and 103 into a single unit. The best way to manage correctly is smaller units. The mule deer permit area also needs to stay. And not be sneaky and issue more permits issued than what you are supposed to behind the scenes. Shady tactics are sub-par and the resulting public lack of trust in management is self inflicted. Our mule deer in region 1 need all the help they can get, and this is one of the best options to help them thrive and disburse out.

---

# #134

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 8:17:47 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 8:34:17 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:16:29  
**IP Address:** 98.125.80.232

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Kyle**  
City/Town: **Whitefish**  
State/Province: **MT**

---

## Q2

**HD 110**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I, among many other hunters feel that this will not help. I believe this because now you have shortened the season. This is significant because all those meat hunters are now going to shoot the first small legal deer they can. I believe there should be a trophy rule. 4 pt and bigger. And for those big huge 3pts that are old in age you should be able to harvest an old, sway backed, and mature deer. For these reasons I believe the proposal that is proposed is not the best option.

---

# #135

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 2:13:43 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 8:53:49 PM  
**Time Spent:** 06:40:06  
**IP Address:** 150.131.132.231

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Maverick D Owens**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **lildogsmontana@yahoo.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I have lived in HD 103 for all of my life, and have been hunting there since I was 10. Every year since then, my family have been able to pull out at least one really nice, mature mule deer. We opposed the establishment of 103-50 because of the lack of biological support for it, as evidenced in FWP's own data as well as my family's experience hunting in 103-50. I was pissed when, during one of FWP's meetings, it was admitted by FWP that the only reason region 103-50 was established was the apparent amount of public approval. However, this approval was gathered through a group that used doctored information to convince people that there was a biological need to establish region 103-50. Since its establishment, 103-50 has decreased in popularity. 103-50 has restricted the opportunity to hunt an area filled with a healthy mule deer and white tail deer population, and now with CWD spreading throughout the region, opportunity is something that should not be depressed. 103-50 needs to be abolished. We need to be able to see if CWD is spreading into the populations there, especially as cases have already been confirmed just on the other side of the Fisher River, 103-50's southern border. The mule deer population in that region is notorious for migrating into the Cabinet Mountains and other regions, and if CWD is allowed to run rampant throughout that population, the deer population in the entirety of region 103 can be at a massive risk. Worst case scenario, as I'm sure the biologists within FWP know, is full ecological collapse. It's chances may be low now, but if 103-50 is allowed to continue existing, those chances increase significantly. 103-50 needs to be abolished so that the strong population there can be kept in check, as well as reestablish the ample opportunity we already had.

In regards to the merging of HD 101 and HD 103, I am not opposed to it. The districts have shared many of the same rules for years anyway, so making it one region to simplify things does make sense.

---

# #136

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 8:59:21 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 9:00:34 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:01:13  
**IP Address:** 98.97.120.247

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Dan Halvorson**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **dnchalvorson@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I support 103-50  
I do not support the CWD hunt around Libby

---

# #137

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 9:12:42 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 9:23:17 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:10:34  
**IP Address:** 66.109.153.173

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Jay W Deal**  
City/Town: **Thompson Falls**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **jaywdeal@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 200**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I would like to see HD 123 and 124 remain separate from HD 200 and be managed as such. I do not see the "simplification" benefit from combining these areas.

---

# #138

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 9:20:55 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 9:25:18 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:23  
**IP Address:** 50.52.31.50

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Tim Paulsen  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** tpaulsen11@yahoo.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: (optional) **HD 101,**  
**HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I am strongly in favor of making actual number for the cwd tags in region 1. I do not feel for our area in region 1 it should be allowed for a unlimited number of cwd tags to be sold over the counter to residents as well as non residents. I also feel that there needs to be a set number of cwd tags for bucks and doe's. I also feel that for region 1 we need to leave the cow tags as they are currently and not change them to a cow b tag.

---

# #139

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 9:29:23 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 9:34:23 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:59  
**IP Address:** 50.52.31.50

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	<b>Amanda Paulsen</b>
City/Town:	<b>Libby</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>
Email Address:	<b>mandajean19@yahoo.com</b>

---

## Q2

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I am in favor of cwd tags having a set number in region 1. I am opposed to cwd tags being sold over the counter in an unlimited fashion to residents and nonresidents in region 1.

I oppose cow b tags to being available in region 1. The cow tag availability needs to stay the way it currently is in region 1.

---

# #140

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 9:35:39 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 9:36:48 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:01:09  
**IP Address:** 50.52.31.50

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Parker paulsen**  
City/Town: **Libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **ppaulsen12@icloud.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I am in favor of cwd tags having a set number in region 1. I am opposed to cwd tags being sold over the counter in an unlimited fashion to residents and nonresidents in region 1.

I oppose cow b tags to being available in region 1. The cow tag availability needs to stay the way it currently is in region 1.

---

# #141

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 9:24:57 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 9:37:58 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:13:00  
**IP Address:** 63.153.111.118

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** keith yancy  
**City/Town:** frenchtown  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** yancykeith@centurylink.net

---

## Q2

HD 200

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

how about giving us some doe tags i am over run with does on my place all the new people moving in are a bunch of bunny hungers from the big city.s that feed them like up behind me !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!this shit gets old i am over run with them i can not grow a garden ever seance you quit the doe program after 2 years i have been over run !!! doint you folks get out and see what going on but at just the check stations during season !!!!!!! that,s sad or unless you get a call thats sad to it would be nice to see a meat and great like i have seen in the past even out on the river bank i am handi capped and i used to see the warded,s all the time its super hard for me but i bust my butt i fish the creeks and rivers most of the time i walk its a bitch but i do it and i hunt from a UTE but i get my deer please GIVE US DOE TAGS !!!

---

# #142

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 9:54:52 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 10:04:02 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:09:10  
**IP Address:** 216.14.251.186

---

Page 1

**Q1** Respondent skipped this question

Contact information: (optional)

---

**Q2** Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

**Q3**  
Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I would like to see the proposals on deer and elk harvest change to allot for a 5 year 4point or better rule to help male/female ratios improve

---

# #143

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 9:32:39 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 10:19:06 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:46:27  
**IP Address:** 107.191.167.245

---

Page 1

**Q1** Respondent skipped this question

Contact information: (optional)

---

**Q2** HD 101,

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: HD 103  
(optional)

---

**Q3**  
Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

The combining of pre-existing hunting districts overextends the game wardens operational range. Without appointing additional wardens, some areas could go unmonitored for the majority of the hunting season.

---

# #144

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 11:05:28 PM  
**Last Modified:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 11:16:17 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:10:48  
**IP Address:** 107.191.166.54

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Sean McAfee  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** seaner4@hotmail.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: (optional) **HD 101,**  
**HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

The special mule deer unit in 103-50 needs to stay in place regardless of border changes between the districts. The mule deer unit is just reaching its maturity and has spread its wealth to units adjacent to it. The mule deer population not only within the unit but on all sides of the unit has become healthier and more bountiful. There are more mature bucks on the entire landscape leading to smaller beards but better numbers. Please keep the special mule deer unit in tact. Note: I have never harvested a mule deer in the unit. Or in the adjacent area and that is a testimonial to just how well the mule deer unit has worked.

A second note in region one. The either sex b tag that is good for a buck or a doe within the Libby CWD zone needs to be seriously reconsidered. The regulation leaves too much room for abuse bringing deer in from out of the zone with little to no enforcement. It also allows people to harvest two mature bucks, with one A tag and a CWD tag. Sick deer are mainly found in the Libby city limits or around the Lincoln county landfill. These areas must continue to be monitored and managed but the current regulation has opened Pandora's box for poaching and abuse of the tag. Thanks for considering.

---

# #145

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 6:00:01 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 6:05:23 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:05:22  
**IP Address:** 174.247.144.228

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Brent Cooper  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** coopers355@hotmail.com

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I would like to comment again on the 103-50 special mule deer permit which at one point was up for removal. I strongly agree with keeping this opportunity in place, it makes absolutely zero sense to remove this unit. It has been in place for a few years now and in my eyes has done nothing but good for the mule deer in this unit which is what I thought management is about. Leave this unit as is and let some conservationist enjoy the opportunity down the road!

---

# #146

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 6:05:32 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 6:05:37 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:00:05  
**IP Address:** 174.247.144.228

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Brent Cooper  
**City/Town:** Libby  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** coopers355@hotmail.com

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I would like to comment again on the 103-50 special mule deer permit which at one point was up for removal. I strongly agree with keeping this opportunity in place, it makes absolutely zero sense to remove this unit. It has been in place for a few years now and in my eyes has done nothing but good for the mule deer in this unit which is what I thought management is about. Leave this unit as is and let some conservationist enjoy the opportunity down the road!

---

# #147

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 7:39:20 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 7:46:23 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:07:02  
**IP Address:** 216.14.242.203

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	<b>Howard Morkert</b>
City/Town:	<b>Trout Creek</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>
Email Address:	<b>Ihmork@blackfoot.net</b>

---

## Q2

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I am in favor of all proposed changes for Region 1

---

# #148

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 8:27:25 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 8:35:05 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:07:40  
**IP Address:** 66.109.157.71

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	<b>Tom Wagler</b>
City/Town:	<b>Trout Creek</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>
Email Address:	<b>cabmtwood@icloud.com</b>

---

## Q2

**Respondent skipped this question**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I like to see the either sex whitetail for the general season. Too many does here for the amount of bucks. Lots and lots of road kill.  
Thank you.

---

# #149

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 8:06:40 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 8:38:33 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:31:53  
**IP Address:** 66.109.156.208

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	<b>Thomas Keegan</b>
City/Town:	<b>Saint Ignatius</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>
Email Address:	<b>keegan@montana.com</b>

---

## Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Although removing barriers to hunter participation via regulation simplification is a laudable goal, I am opposed to eliminating hunts or reducing opportunity to reach that goal. Specifically I am opposed to eliminating hunts simply because they encompass only part of a hunt area. Further, I am opposed to eliminating hunts simply because they do not reach some arbitrary minimum number of permits. I do not believe current regulations are inherently complicated. However, arrangement and presentation of those regulations in the book creates much confusion. In my opinion, far more "simplification" of the regulations book would occur through changing from an area-based approach to a species-weapon based approach. First, do not split regs up by administrative regions. Administrative regions themselves have no bearing on how I select hunting opportunities. Second split deer and elk apart and then split by weapon type (or vice versa). Some people want to look at all their deer opportunity in one place, others may want to see all their archery opportunity in one place. How many people want to start by looking at opportunity at the level of just one hunt area? I certainly do NOT. Displaying regulations in such a way would not only show similarity of hunt regulations across hunt areas, but also significantly reduce the number of pages needed to present the same information because there would be no repetition of the same regulation/date across dozens of hunt areas. Rather, all the same information would appear in a much simpler table or map format.

---

# #150

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 8:04:03 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 8:38:50 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:34:46  
**IP Address:** 69.146.110.146

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Ian Wargo**  
City/Town: **Kalispell**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **elkaholichunter@yahoo.com**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: (optional) **HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 200**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Sometimes I have a hard time following the logic behind some of FWP's decisions at times. It seems that the only mechanism by which FWP manages is to kill more animals. Issuing Elk B tags in Region 1 is incomprehensible. How about we manage to increase numbers where public land is prolific. FWP should be striving to increase elk populations in Region 1 to take the pressure off the rest of the state, not killing more cows.

---

# #151

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Monday, January 03, 2022 5:59:36 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 11:02:55 AM  
**Time Spent:** Over a week  
**IP Address:** 73.62.49.135

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **D.J. Zor**  
City/Town: **Columbia Falls**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **david.zorii@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I attended the region 1 Zoom CAC zoom meeting and I truly appreciate the work put in by FWP's field biologists and staff. I have submitted my comments regarding the mostly terrible statewide elk proposals separately. I remain neutral on the region 1 specific proposals as they are mostly small changes that are supported by data and science. My one general comment for Region 1 would be to suggest increased whitetail deer harvest to improve mule deer populations by minimizing competition on shared whitetail/mule deer habitat. I would like to see an either sex whitetail general tag for select units that have an overabundance of whitetail on top of the available antlerless B tags. Anecdotally, I will not even look at an antlered whitetail when I have a general deer tag in my pocket and I'm hoping to have a quality mule deer hunt. However, if I had an either sex whitetail only tag in my pocket I would gladly put a small antlered whitetail in my freezer and in my family's bellies if I knew I could continue to pursue high country mulies. This may result in reducing deer impacts on private lands on the valley floor as well as having benefits to our declining mule deer populations. I know that this is not a part of the 2022/20223 regulation proposals, I just wanted to take the opportunity to put it out there. I appreciate the opportunity to interact with the FWP and hopefully have my voice heard.

Thank you for your time and consideration,  
D.J. Zor

---

# #152

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 11:26:16 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 11:35:27 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:09:11  
**IP Address:** 50.54.249.45

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** jeff koskela  
**City/Town:** libby  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** kootenaitruck@frontiernet.net

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: **HD 101,**  
(optional) **HD 103**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

disagree with boundary changes. the fwp complicates with all these moves. we have traditional hunting districts we need to use them, improve some bad borders, make small changes. and then use the hd. for all species, no need for special management units for bears, lions ect. the smaller the hd the easier it is to properly manage. thanks jeff koskela

---

# #153

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 11:43:18 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 11:45:34 AM  
**Time Spent:** 00:02:16  
**IP Address:** 50.54.249.45

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **jeff koskela**  
City/Town: **libby**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **kootenaitruck@frontiernet.net**

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110,**  
**HD 130,**  
**HD 150,**  
**HD 200**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

disagree with hunters being able to harvest more than 1 elk, in region 1. I would like to see the opportunity given to our youth. thanks  
jeff koskela

---

# #154

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 11:50:11 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 12:15:45 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:25:33  
**IP Address:** 63.153.18.164

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Brad Molnar**  
City/Town: **Laurel**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **brad.molnar@yahoo.com**

---

## Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

This survey is very flawed. Without, name, address, or email anyone can respond multiple times. If hunters respond only on their region will the other commissioners even see them. If a hunter hunts in more than one region will his two entries count as two comments or one hunter? Very amateurish.

That having been said it must be said that this is a scientific attempt at elk management falls very short. All evidence is that shoulder seasons have not reduced elk numbers, yet you increase them. All evidence is that rewarding elk hoarders by giving them tags and permits does not increase the access needed to reduce the herd size nor increase access in general. This is a very thinly veiled attempt to continue the monetization of elk to the enrichment of a few at the expense of many. As one commenter at the Billings live meeting said, "With rules like this within thirty years our children will never know the experience of public hunting.

Changing to licenses from permits and to over the counter to from permits creates opportunity for a very few but plainly if access was denied or restricted with three hundred permits issuing 450 will not unlock a gate. Who do you think you are kidding? Fooling? Blindsiding?

At the Billings live meeting the only supporter of your "scientifically developed" program was a land owner that thought he could sell more access to locals if they could use licenses and not need to draw a permit. Good job, Thank you for this opportunity to address the Greg Gianforte Fish, Wildlife, Outfitters, Guides, and Major Donors, Association

---

# #155

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 12:12:22 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 12:16:31 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:09  
**IP Address:** 184.166.49.251

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name:	<b>Robert Keibler</b>
City/Town:	<b>COLUMBIA FALLS</b>
State/Province:	<b>MT</b>
Email Address:	<b>beknok6@hotmail.com</b>

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on: (optional)	<b>HD 101,</b>
	<b>HD 103,</b>
	<b>HD 110,</b>
	<b>HD 130,</b>
	<b>HD 150,</b>
	<b>HD 200</b>

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I am in support of these changes to the hunting district marked above as proposed

---

# #156

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 12:18:14 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 12:18:50 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:00:35  
**IP Address:** 63.153.18.164

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Brad Molnar**  
City/Town: **Laurel**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **brad.molnar@yahoo.com**

---

## Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

This survey is very flawed. Without, name, address, or email anyone can respond multiple times. If hunters respond only on their region will the other commissioners even see them. If a hunter hunts in more than one region will his two entries count as two comments or one hunter? Very amateurish.

That having been said it must be said that this is a scientific attempt at elk management falls very short. All evidence is that shoulder seasons have not reduced elk numbers, yet you increase them. All evidence is that rewarding elk hoarders by giving them tags and permits does not increase the access needed to reduce the herd size nor increase access in general. This is a very thinly veiled attempt to continue the monetization of elk to the enrichment of a few at the expense of many. As one commenter at the Billings live meeting said, "With rules like this within thirty years our children will never know the experience of public hunting.

Changing to licenses from permits and to over the counter to from permits creates opportunity for a very few but plainly if access was denied or restricted with three hundred permits issuing 450 will not unlock a gate. Who do you think you are kidding? Fooling? Blindsiding?

At the Billings live meeting the only supporter of your "scientifically developed" program was a land owner that thought he could sell more access to locals if they could use licenses and not need to draw a permit. Good job, Thank you for this opportunity to address the Greg Gianforte Fish, Wildlife, Outfitters, Guides, and Major Donors, Association

---

# #157

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 2:12:48 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 2:16:49 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:04:00  
**IP Address:** 50.37.134.1

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **LARRY LAMPTON**  
City/Town: **LIBBY**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **rlampton@frontiernet.net**

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Deer Permit 103-50, a proposed increase in permits from 5 - 13 seems excessive. What is the justification for it? I didn't see this increase listed in the supporting information. This increase seems excessive and counterproductive for this mule deer trophy area.

---

# #158

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 2:13:32 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 2:21:00 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:07:27  
**IP Address:** 66.135.70.250

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **Kevin Knapp**  
City/Town: **Whitefish**  
State/Province: **MT**  
Email Address: **kevdknapp@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

**HD 103**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Hello, I wanted to state my opinion on district 103. I believe the N Fisher Trophy Mule deer unit should stay in effect. This helps support surrounding units with more mature animals and offers a trophy unit in Region 1. I've also seen an increase in wolf activity in this unit that is affecting the mule deer population heavily. I would suggest to go to a 3 point or better for those ages 16 and up and no harvesting of does for both deer species. Thank you for taking the time to read my input on these matters.

---

# #159

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 12:26:03 AM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 2:23:37 PM  
**Time Spent:** 13:57:33  
**IP Address:** 174.202.73.226

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Hunter Johnstone  
**City/Town:** Missoula  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** hjohn44@gmail.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

**HD 101,**  
**HD 103,**  
**HD 110,**  
**HD 130,**  
**HD 150,**  
**HD 200**

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I support the changes to simplify these boundaries.

---

# #160

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 2:42:49 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 2:43:16 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:00:27  
**IP Address:** 208.98.162.185

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

Name: **jessica french**  
City/Town: **Challis**  
State/Province: **ID**  
Email Address: **flyfishgal13@gmail.com**

---

## Q2

Respondent skipped this question

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

**Q3**

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I learned to hunt in MT and return from Idaho to hunt every year. I am opposed to combining hunting districts based on the justification of "simplification." I have never had any problem understanding Montana regulations and I have never heard that complaint from a Montana resident either. Also, some of the proposals have had contradictions between the online documentation and what is actually proposed. Changes to wildlife management should be based on science and conservation, not just a paperwork exercise.

Elk proposed changes are being justified with management objectives that are severely outdated; it is my understanding that new elk objectives are currently being drafted. Why introduce sweeping changes without a current blueprint for operation? Would it not be in everyone's best interest to roll out the new management objectives and then use that to make necessary regulation changes?

Although this suggestion may give the impression of "kicking the can down the road," it really is finding proper –

In closing, the introduction of these wide-sweeping changes in combination with the district realignments, all before new elk management objectives are landed on, has muddied the waters significantly. In the spirit of simplicity, we implore the commission to reject all current proposals and maintain the status quo or, at a minimum, extend the season-setting process for an additional 60 days so all the changes can be properly and accurately vetted.

In closing, the introduction of these wide-sweeping changes in combination with the district realignments, all before new elk management objectives are landed on, has muddied the waters significantly. In the spirit of simplicity, we implore the commission to reject all current proposals and maintain the status quo or, at a minimum, extend the season-setting process for an additional 60 days so all the changes can be properly and accurately vetted.

The introduction of these wide-sweeping changes in combination with the district realignments, all before new elk management objectives are landed on, has muddied the waters significantly. In the spirit of simplicity, I implore the commission to reject all current proposals and maintain the status quo or, at a minimum, extend the season-setting process for an additional 60 days so all the changes can be properly and accurately vetted.

---

# #161

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 3:27:05 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 3:27:54 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:00:48  
**IP Address:** 72.174.6.45

---

Page 1

**Q1** **Respondent skipped this question**  
Contact information: (optional)

---

**Q2** **Respondent skipped this question**  
Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

### Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I am opposed to any more antlerless tags for private land owners or expansion of existing shoulder seasons. This has been tried and shown it doesn't work. All that does is provide easy and profitable elk for the most fortunate land owners.

The claim that there are "too many elk" is a falsehood. Who says there's too many elk in MT? I've lived and hunted in MT my entire life and I've never heard anyone other than FWP and wealthy land owners say there are too many elk. I especially do not hear this from other hunters.

Here's some facts:

MT total area - 93,155,800 acres

MT public land - ~32,473,220 acres

MT elk according to FWP - ~ 170,000

vs.

CO total area - 66,387,200 acres

CO public land - ~ 26,458,890 acres

CO elk according to CWP 2019 - ~ 282,000

MT has more land and more public land than CO, yet only has only 61% of the number of elk. HOW IS THAT TOO MANY??

My guess is that FWP is taking its marching orders from a very small minority of land owners that are well connected and have deep pockets. They should be thankful they own land in MT and have the privilege of having elk on their property, but because they don't want to lose a little money, they're unwilling to deal with the problems of elk on their land with their own money. Wrong solution to a problem that isn't a problem for 99.99999% of MT citizens. If these land owners don't want elk on their land, they can spend their own money on fences to keep them out or allow easy public access for hunters. Or maybe FWP should stop all predator hunting on those private lands so that predators will naturally reduce the herds on those lands. That won't happen because the land owners don't like predators either.

This is not a problem to begin with and the proposed "solutions" are hand-outs to the most privileged. If FWP wants to continue to operate, they need to remember who they work for. FWP works for all the citizens of MT, not just a few well connected and wealthy land owners. I don't have any ill will against anyone for having wealth or owning a lot of land, but I do not like those that do using their wealth or status as land owners to make all other citizens of MT lose hunting opportunities.

---

# #162

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 3:29:12 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 3:41:46 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:12:33  
**IP Address:** 72.174.6.45

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

**Name:** Jordan Carter  
**City/Town:** Missoula  
**State/Province:** MT  
**Email Address:** kingfisher1943@yahoo.com

---

## Q2

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

HD 101,  
HD 103,  
HD 110,  
HD 130,  
HD 150,  
HD 200

---

### Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I am opposed to any more antlerless tags for private land owners or expansion of existing shoulder seasons. This has been tried and shown it doesn't work. All that does is provide easy and profitable elk for the most fortunate land owners.

The claim that there are "too many elk" is a falsehood. Who says there's too many elk in MT? I've lived and hunted in MT my entire life and I've never heard anyone other than FWP and wealthy land owners say there are too many elk. I especially do not hear this from other hunters.

Here's some facts:

MT total area - 93,155,800 acres

MT public land - ~32,473,220 acres

MT elk according to FWP - ~ 170,000

vs.

CO total area - 66,387,200 acres

CO public land - ~ 26,458,890 acres

CO elk according to CWP 2019 - ~ 282,000

MT has more land and more public land than CO, yet only has only 61% of the number of elk. HOW IS THAT TOO MANY??

My guess is that FWP is taking its marching orders from a very small minority of land owners that are well connected and have deep pockets. They should be thankful they own land in MT and have the privilege of having elk on their property, but because they don't want to lose a little money, they're unwilling to deal with the problems of elk on their land with their own money. Wrong solution to a problem that isn't a problem for 99.99999% of MT citizens. If these land owners don't want elk on their land, they can spend their own money on fences to keep them out or allow easy public access for hunters. Or maybe FWP should stop all predator hunting on those private lands so that predators will naturally reduce the herds on those lands. That won't happen because the land owners don't like predators either.

This is not a problem to begin with and the proposed "solutions" are hand-outs to the most privileged. If FWP wants to continue to operate, they need to remember who they work for. FWP works for all the citizens of MT, not just a few well connected and wealthy land owners. I don't have any ill will against anyone for having wealth or owning a lot of land, but I do not like those that do using their wealth or status as land owners to make all other citizens of MT lose hunting opportunities.

---

# #163

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 10:59:48 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 11:15:25 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:15:37  
**IP Address:** 174.247.148.152

---

Page 1

## Q1

Contact information: (optional)

State/Province: **MT**

---

## Q2

**HD 200**

Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

## Q3

Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

Why are you giving 3 HDs to R2 to manage?

---

# #164

**COMPLETE**

**Collector:** December comments (Web Link)  
**Started:** Friday, January 21, 2022 11:29:35 PM  
**Last Modified:** Friday, January 21, 2022 11:57:09 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:27:33  
**IP Address:** 72.160.11.165

---

Page 1

**Q1** **Respondent skipped this question**  
Contact information: (optional)

---

**Q2** **HD 200**  
Select which hunting districts you want to comment on:  
(optional)

---

**Q3**  
Please comment on the proposed changes for Region 1's 2022-23 deer and elk regulations

I strongly disagree with this boundary change. If you look at the history of the harvest in 200, 123, 124. They are different enough to warrant defiantly keeping them separate. For deer at least. Instead of enlarging the districts to one big one of(200). Put bulls on permit in those 3 districts for the next 6 years and no cow hunting in the three districts to bring the elk population up. And shoot 2 bears per year to help with calf populations and fawn population.

---