SOME CLICKER COMPARISONS:
questions asked only of
the public

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statement: I know a lot about FWP.



On a scale of 1 to 5, how much experience do you have: interacting with FWP and its employees?



On a scale of 1 to 5, how much experience do you have: seeking out information about what FWP is doing?



On a scale of 1 to 5, providing input to FWP, the Fish and Wildlife Commission, and/or the Parks Board regarding fish, wildlife, and/or park related issues?



On a scale of 1 to 5, how would you rate FWP's reputation?



To what extent do you agree or disagree that the following should be a guiding principle for FWP: *FWP will maintain the long-term viability of Montana's natural, cultural and recreational resources.*



To what extent do you agree or disagree that the following should be a guiding principle for FWP: *FWP will actively involve people in decisions that affect them; help people to participate by providing them with credible and objective information; and, develop programs with a clear understanding of public expectations for FWP service.*



To what extent do you agree or disagree that the following should be a guiding principle for FWP: *FWP will serve as an advocate for responsible management and for equitable allocation of public use of the limited resources they are entrusted to manage.*



To what extent do you agree or disagree that the following should be a guiding principle for FWP: *FWP will manage fish, wildlife, and recreation resources with pride in Montana's hunting, angling, and outdoor heritage.*



To what extent do you agree or disagree that the following should be a guiding principle for FWP: *FWP will create and strengthen working partnerships with individuals, organized groups and other natural, historic, and cultural resource management agencies.*



To what extent do you agree or disagree that the following should be a guiding principle for FWP: *FWP will use innovation and technology to improve their services.*



CLICKER COMPARISONS:
questions asked of both
the public and staff

FWP adequately involves the public when making important decisions.



FWP does a good job of listening to all points of view offered by the public.



Montana's fish, wildlife, and park resources and the opportunities they afford are something every Montanan benefits from.



Conserving Montana's fish, wildlife, and park resources for the future is a responsibility that all Montanans should share.



On a scale of 1 to 5, how would you rate FWP's reputation among anglers?



On a scale of 1 to 5, how would you rate FWP's reputation among hunters?



On a scale of 1 to 5, how would you rate FWP's reputation among trappers?



On a scale of 1 to 5, how would you rate FWP's reputation among state park users?



On a scale of 1 to 5, how would you rate FWP's reputation among rural private landowners?



How would you rate FWP's reputation among people who don't hunt and/or fish but enjoy Montana's fish and wildlife resources nonetheless?



On a scale of 1 to 5, how would you rate FWP's reputation among Montanans in general?



On a scale of 1 to 5, how would you rate FWP's reputation among nonresidents that visit Montana to recreate?